EXTRACTA MATHEMATICAE Vol. **28**, Núm. 1, 77 – 94 (2013)

Derivations of Generalized B*-algebras

M. Weigt, I. Zarakas

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,
Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University,
Summerstrand Campus (South), Port Elizabeth, 6031*, South Africa*

Department of Mathematics, University of Athens,
Panepistimiopolis, Athens 15784*, Greece*

weigt.martin@gmail.com , Martin.Weigt@nmmu.ac.za , gzarak@math.uoa.gr

Presented by Alfonso Montes — Received January 28, 2013

Abstract: It is well known that a commutative C*-algebra has no nonzero derivations. In this article, we extend this result to complete commutative GB*-algebras having jointly continuous multiplication. We also give some results about derivations of GB*-algebras, with their underlying C*-algebras being W*-algebras.

Key words: GB*-algebra, topological algebra, derivation, locally convex bimodule.

AMS *Subject Class.* (2010): 46H05, 46H25, 46H35, 46H40, 46K05, 46L05, 46L10.

1. Introduction

GB*-algebras (i.e., generalized B*-algebras) are locally convex $*$-algebras which are generalizations of C*-algebras. They were introduced in 1967 by G.R. Allan in [2], and later, the concept was extended by P.G. Dixon in [16] to include non-locally convex algebras. GB*-algebras are also abstract algebras of unbounded operators on Hilbert spaces, i.e., O*-algebras. The latter algebras were introduced by G. Lassner in [26] and play an important role in the theory of unbounded operators and their physical applications. To be more precise, the observables of a quantum mechanical system can be realized as unbounded self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space, and one considers these operators to be elements of an algebra of unbounded operators (O*-algebra). The time-evolution of the quantum mechanical system can be modeled by one-parameter automorphism groups of the latter algebras, and derivations are the generators of these groups.

If A is an algebra, and X is an A-bimodule, then a linear map $\delta : A \to X$ is called a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$ for all $a, b \in A$. We say that δ is *inner* if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\delta(a) = ax - xa$ for all $a \in A$. The theory of derivations of C*-algebras is well developed and, as mentioned above, is of importance to the algebraic formalism of quantum mechanics ([11], [32]). For instance, it is well known that all derivations of a C*-algebra are continuous [32, Theorem 2.3.1], and that all derivations of a von Neumann algebra are inner [32, Theorem 2.5.3]. Also, the zero derivation is the only derivation of a commutative C*-algebra [15]. A wealth of automatic continuity results for derivations and homomorphisms of Banach algebras are given in [15].

The first article about derivations of unbounded operator algebras to appear in the literature is the article of C. Brödel and G. Lassner [12]. In this article, they proved that every derivation of a complete O*-algebra A of type R is spatial, and is the generator of a one-parameter automorphism group of A. A special type of GB*-algebra is a pro-C*-algebra, i.e., a complete topological $*$-algebra $A[\tau_\Gamma]$ for which there exists a directed family of C*-seminorms $\Gamma = \{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$ defining the topology τ_Γ [18, Definition 7.1]. If $A[\tau_\Gamma]$ is a pro-C*-algebra, R. Becker proved in 1992 that all derivations $\delta : A \to A$ are continuous [7, Proposition 2]. He also proved that the zero derivation is the only derivation of a commutative pro-C*-algebra [7, Corollary 3]. Other results concerning derivations of non-normed topological $*$-algebras and unbounded operator algebras can be found in [30], [23], [5], [6], [8], [4], [34] and [35]. For a more detailed survey of derivations of locally convex $*$-algebras, see [20].

All of the above, together with [20, discussion after Theorem 5.2], provides good motivation for a general investigation of derivations of GB*-algebras. We prove in Section 3 that the zero derivation is the only derivation of a complete commutative GB*-algebra having jointly continuous multiplication. This is an extension to GB*-algebras of the well known fact that every commutative C*-algebra (more, generally, a pro-C*-algebra) has no nonzero derivations. In Section 4, we give an example of a commutative O*-algebra admitting a nonzero derivation.

A GB*-algebra $A[\tau]$ has the property that there is a C*-algebra $A[B_0]$ dense in A (Proposition 2.3), which plays an important role for its study. In Section 5, we give some results about derivations of GB*-algebras, with $A[B_0]$ being a W*-algebra. Examples of GB*-algebras having $A[B_0]$ as a W*-algebra are given. Section 2 consists of all the necessary background for understanding and proving the main results of this paper.

2. Preliminaries

All vector spaces in this paper are over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers and all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Moreover, all algebras are assumed to have an identity element denoted by 1.

A *topological algebra* is an algebra, which is also a topological vector space such that the multiplication is separately continuous in both variables [18]. A *topological $*$-algebra* is a topological algebra endowed with a continuous involution. A topological $*$-algebra which is also a locally convex space is called a *locally convex $*$-algebra*. The symbol $A[\tau]$ will stand for a topological $*$-algebra A endowed with given topology τ.

Definitions 2.1. ([2]) Let $A[\tau]$ be a topological $*$-algebra and $\mathcal{B}^*$ a collection of subsets B of A with the following properties:

(i) B is absolutely convex, closed and bounded,

(ii) $1 \in B,\ B^2 \subset B$ and $B^* = B$.

For every $B \in \mathcal{B}^*$, denote by $A[B]$ the linear span of B, which is a normed algebra under the gauge function $\|\cdot\|_B$ of B. If $A[B]$ is complete for every $B \in \mathcal{B}^*$, then $A[\tau]$ is called *pseudo-complete*.

An element $x \in A$ is called (Allan) *bounded* if for some nonzero complex number λ, the set $\{(\lambda x)^n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is bounded in A. We denote by A_0 the set of all bounded elements in A.

A topological $*$-algebra $A[\tau]$ is called *symmetric* if, for every $x \in A$, the element $(1 + x^*x)^{-1}$ exists and belongs to A_0.

In [16], the collection $\mathcal{B}^*$ in the definition above is defined to be the same as above, except that $B \in \mathcal{B}^*$ is no longer assumed to be absolutely convex. The notion of a bounded element is a generalization of the concept of bounded operator on a Banach space, and was introduced by G.R. Allan in [1] in order to develop a spectral theory for general locally convex $*$-algebras.

Definition 2.2. ([2]) A symmetric pseudo-complete locally convex $*$-algebra $A[\tau]$ such that the collection $\mathcal{B}^*$ has a greatest member denoted by B_0, is called a *GB^*-algebra* over B_0.

Every sequentially complete locally convex algebra is pseudo-complete [1, Proposition 2.6]. In [16], P.G. Dixon extended the notion of GB*-algebras to include topological $*$-algebras which are not locally convex. In this definition, GB*-algebras are not assumed to be pseudo-complete, B_0 is the only element in $\mathcal{B}^*$ which is necessarily absolutely convex (see the paragraph before Definition 2.2), and only $A[B_0]$ is assumed to be complete with respect to the gauge function $\|\cdot\|_{B_0}$. For a survey on GB*-algebras, see [19].

PROPOSITION 2.3. ([2, Theorem 2.6], [10, Theorem 2]) *If $A[\tau]$ is a GB*-algebra, then the Banach $*$-algebra $A[B_0]$ is a C*-algebra sequentially dense in A, and $(1+x^*x)^{-1} \in A[B_0]$ for every $x \in A$. Furthermore, B_0 is the unit ball of $A[B_0]$.*

The C*-algebra $A[B_0]$ of Proposition 2.3 is also called the *bounded part* of the GB*-algebra A. If A is commutative, then $A_0 = A[B_0]$ [2, p. 94]. In general, A_0 is not a $*$-subalgebra of A, and $A[B_0]$ contains all normal elements of A_0 [2, p. 94].

It is well known that every commutative C*-algebra is topologically and algebraically $*$-isomorphic to $C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space (in fact, X is the maximal ideal space of A). More generally, any commutative GB*-algebra is algebraically $*$-isomorphic to an algebra of functions on a compact Hausdorff space X, which are allowed to take the value infinity on at most a nowhere dense subset of X [2, Theorem 3.9]. This algebraic $*$-isomorphism extends the Gelfand isomorphism of $A[B_0]$ onto the corresponding $C(X)$.

Recall that every C*-algebra is topologically-algebraically $*$-isomorphic to a norm closed $*$-subalgebra of $B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H. In general, every GB*-algebra is algebraically $*$-isomorphic to an algebra of unbounded operators on a Hilbert space [16, Theorem 7.6 and Theorem 7.11]. Therefore, in light of Proposition 2.3, one can think of a GB*-algebra as a C*-algebra with "unbounded elements" adjoined to it.

A *pro-C*-algebra* is a complete locally convex $*$-algebra $A[\tau]$, whose topology τ is defined by a directed family of C^*-seminorms [18, Definition 7.1]. Every pro-C*-algebra is topologically $*$-isomorphic to an inverse limit of C*-algebras [18], and every pro-C*-algebra is a *GB*-algebra* [2, p. 95].

Suppose now that $A[\tau]$ is a locally convex $*$-algebra, where τ is defined by a directed family $\{p_\nu\}_{\nu\in\Lambda}$ of seminorms with the following properties: for every $\nu \in \Lambda$, there is $\nu' \in \Lambda$ such that $p_\nu(xy) \leq p_{\nu'}(x)p_{\nu'}(y)$, $p_\nu(x^*) \leq p_{\nu'}(x)$ and $p_\nu(x)^2 \leq p_{\nu'}(x^*x)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Such a family of seminorms is called *C^*-like*. A complete locally convex $*$-algebra $A[\tau]$ for which τ is defined by a family of C^*-like seminorms is called a *C*-like locally convex $*$-algebra* if

$$A_b := \left\{ x \in A \,:\, \sup_\nu p_\nu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

is τ-dense in A [22]. Every C*-like locally convex $*$-algebra is a GB*-algebra over $B_0 = \{x \in A : \sup_\nu p_\nu(x) \leq 1\}$ [22, Theorem 2.1]. Clearly, every pro-C*-algebra is a C*-like locally convex $*$-algebra. Examples of GB*-algebras, including pro-C*-algebras and C*-like locally convex $*$-algebras, can be found in [2], [16], [18] and [22]. We give the following example, which we will need in Section 3.

EXAMPLE 2.4. ([22, Example 3.3]) Let M be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful finite normal trace τ. Let $LS(M)$ denote the $*$-algebra of all locally measurable operators affiliated with M (see Definition 2.6 below), and let $L^p(M,\tau) = \{x \in LS(M) : \tau(|x|^p) < \infty\}$ for all $p \geq 1$, where $|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $L^p(M,\tau)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_p = \big(\tau(|x|^p)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for every $p \geq 1$. Let $L^\omega(M,\tau) = \bigcap_{p\geq 1} L^p(M,\tau)$. Then $L^\omega(M,\tau)$ is a C*-like locally convex $*$-algebra, and hence a GB*-algebra, with respect to the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_p$, where $p \geq 1$.

If $\mathcal{D}$ denotes an inner product space, then $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ denotes the set of all closable linear operators a such that $a\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$, the domain of a^* contains $\mathcal{D}$ and $a^*\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. We define an involution on $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ by $a^\dagger = a^*|_\mathcal{D}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$. Then $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is a $*$-algebra with respect to this involution, and with multiplication being defined by the usual composition of operators [26]. A $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ containing the identity operator on $\mathcal{D}$ is called an *O^*-algebra* on $\mathcal{D}$ [26].

DEFINITION 2.5. Let x and y be closed operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. If $x+y$ is closable, then its closure $\overline{x+y}$ is called the strong sum of x and y, and is denoted by $x \dotplus y$. The strong product of x and y is defined similarly by $\overline{xy}$, and is denoted by $x \cdot y$. If $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then we define $\lambda \cdot x$ to be λx, and if $\lambda = 0$, then $\lambda \cdot x$ is defined to be the zero operator defined on the whole of $\mathcal{H}$.

The following concepts of locally measurable operator and EW*-algebra will be needed in Section 5.

DEFINITION 2.6. ([36, Theorem 2.1 and Definition 2.2]) Let M be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H and x a closed operator affiliated with M.

(i) The operator x is called measurable if the domain of x is dense in H and $1 - E_\lambda$ is finite for some $\lambda > 0$, where $|x| = \int_0^\infty \lambda \, dE_\lambda$ is the spectral decomposition of $|x|$.

(ii) If there exist projections q_n in the centre of M such that $q_n \uparrow 1$ and xq_n is measurable for each n, then x is called locally measurable.

We denote the set of *all locally measurable operators affiliated with a von Neumann algebra* M by $LS(M)$. This is a $*-$algebra with respect to the usual adjoint, the strong sum and strong product [36, p. 260].

Definition 2.7. ([17, Definition 1.2]) Let A be a set of closed, densely defined operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which is a $*$-algebra under strong sum, strong product, scalar multiplication (it is understood that $\lambda x = 0$, the zero operator on the whole of $\mathcal{H}$, if $\lambda = 0$) and the usual adjoint of operators. We call A an EW*-algebra if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $(1 + x^*x)^{-1}$ exists in A for every $x \in A$,

(ii) the subalgebra A_e of bounded operators in A is a W*-algebra.

We sometimes say that A *is an EW*-algebra over the von Neumann algebra* A_e.

Proposition 2.8. ([29, Proposition 3.4]) *If $A[\tau_\Gamma]$ is a pro-C*-algebra and $X[\tau]$ is a complete locally convex A-bimodule having $\tau_\Gamma \times \tau - \tau$ jointly continuous module actions, then the topology τ on X can be defined by a directed family of seminorms Γ' such that for every $q \in \Gamma'$, there is a C*-seminorm $p \in \Gamma$ satisfying $q(ax) \leq p(a)q(x)$ and $q(xa) \leq p(a)q(x)$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in X$.*

If, in particular, $A[\|\cdot\|]$ is a C*-algebra and $X[\tau]$ is a complete locally convex A-bimodule having $\|\cdot\| \times \tau - \tau$ jointly continuous module actions, then the topology τ on X can be defined by a family of seminorms Γ' such that for every $q \in \Gamma'$, $q(ax) \leq \|a\|q(x)$ and $q(xa) \leq \|a\|q(x)$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in X$.

3. Derivations of commutative GB*-algebras

The main result of this section is that a complete commutative GB*-algebra having jointly continuous multiplication has no nonzero derivations.

This result is a partial answer to the question in [20, discussion after Theorem 5.2], concerning the structure of derivations of GB*-algebras.

The strategy of the proof is as follows: given a complete commutative GB*-algebra $A[\tau]$ with jointly continuous multiplication, and a derivation $\delta : A \to A$, we prove that $\delta|_{A[B_0]} = 0$. The result then follows from the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If $\delta : A \to A$ is a derivation of a GB*−algebra $A[\tau]$ such that there is an $a \in A$ satisfying $\delta(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in A[B_0]$, then $\delta(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in A$.*

Proof. Let $x \in A$ such that $x \geq 0$. Then $(1+x)^{-1} \in A[B_0]$ ([16, Proposition 5.1] and [2, Theorem 2.6]). Also, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta(1) &= \delta((1+x)(1+x)^{-1}) \\ &= \delta((1+x)^{-1} + x(1+x)^{-1}) \\ &= \delta((1+x)^{-1}) + x\delta((1+x)^{-1}) + \delta(x)(1+x)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x) &= -\delta((1+x)^{-1})(1+x) - x\delta((1+x)^{-1})(1+x) \\ &= -(a(1+x)^{-1} - (1+x)^{-1}a)(1+x) \\ &\quad - x(a(1+x)^{-1} - (1+x)^{-1}a)(1+x) \\ &= \; ax - xa\,. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $x \in A$ be arbitrary. By the proof of [16, Theorem 6.5], there exist positive elements $x_i \in A, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, such that $x = x_1 - x_2 + \mathrm{i}\,x_3 - \mathrm{i}\,x_4$. Therefore, from the above, $\delta(x) = ax - xa$. ∎

If A is a commutative amenable Banach algebra, X a commutative Banach A-bimodule, and $\delta : A \to X$ a continuous derivation, then $\delta = 0$ [24, Proposition 8.2]. Also, every derivations of a C*-algebra A into any Banach A-bimodule is continuous [31, Theorem 2]. These facts are needed in the proof of the following theorem, which is the key for proving that the zero derivation is the only derivation of a commutative Fréchet GB*-algebra.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let A be a commutative C^*−algebra and $X[\tau]$ a commutative complete locally convex A−bimodule with jointly continuous module actions. Then every derivation $\delta : A \to X$ is inner and thus the zero derivation.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.8, we have that the topology τ of X is determined by a family $(q_i)_{i\in I}$ of seminorms such that $q_i(ax) \leq ||a||q_i(x)$ and $q_i(xa) \leq ||a||q_i(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $a \in A$. Then, for all $i \in I$, it follows that $X_i \equiv X/\ker q_i$ is a normed A-bimodule with respect to the following (well defined) module actions:

$$a \cdot (x + N_i) = ax + N_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad (x + N_i) \cdot a = xa + N_i\,,$$

where $N_i = \{x \in X \,:\, q_i(x) = 0\}$ for each $i \in I$. Therefore $X = \varprojlim \overline{X}_i$, up to isomorphism of locally convex spaces, where $\overline{X}_i$ is the completion of X_i with respect the norm $\overline{q}_i$, where $\overline{q}_i(x + \ker q_i) = q_i(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $i \in I$. Therefore $\overline{X}_i$ is a commutative Banach A-bimodule for every $i \in I$. We now consider the map

$$\delta_i : A \longrightarrow \overline{X}_i\,, \qquad \delta_i = \pi_i \circ \delta\,,$$

where $\pi_i : X \to \overline{X}_i$ is the i^{th} projection (module) map of X into $\overline{X}_i$. It is easily verified that δ_i is a derivation for every $i \in I$. By [31, Theorem 2], δ_i is $\|\cdot\| - \overline{q}_i$ continuous for every $i \in I$. Since A is a commutative C*-algebra, A is an amenable Banach algebra, and therefore, by [24, Proposition 8.2], $\delta_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Hence $\delta = 0$. ∎

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $A[\tau]$ be a commutative complete GB*−algebra with jointly continuous multiplication. Then the zero derivation is the only derivation of A.*

Proof. Let $\delta : A \to A$ be a derivation of A. Then $\delta_{|A[B_0]} : A[B_0] \to A$ is a derivation from the commutative C^*−algebra $A[B_0]$ into A, which is a complete locally convex $A[B_0]$−bimodule with $\|\cdot\| \times \tau - \tau$ jointly continuous module actions (the module actions being the multiplication on A). The latter comes from the fact that the multiplication in A is jointly continuous and that $\tau \preceq \|\cdot\|$ on $A[B_0]$. Therefore, from Theorem 3.2, we have that $\delta_{|A[B_0]} = 0$. Hence, by Proposition 3.1, $\delta = 0$. ∎

Every Fréchet topological algebra has the property that multiplication is jointly continuous [18], and therefore the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3.

COROLLARY 3.4. *If $A[\tau]$ is a commutative Fréchet GB*−algebra, then the zero derivation is the only derivation of A.*

Since C^*−like locally convex $*$−algebras are complete GB*−algebras having jointly continuous multiplication, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If $A[\tau]$ is a commutative C^*-like locally convex $*-$algebra, then the zero derivation is the only derivation of A.*

Since $L^\omega(M,\tau)$ is a C*-like locally convex $*$-algebra, as in Example 2.4, one can deduce the following result from Corollary 3.5, which is a special case of [5, Corollary 3.5].

COROLLARY 3.6. *If M is a commutative von Neumann algebra with a faithful finite normal trace τ, then the zero derivation is the only derivation of $L^\omega(M,\tau)$.*

Remark. If A is a pro-C*-algebra and X is a complete locally convex A-bimodule with jointly continuous module actions, then every derivation $\delta : A \to X$ is continuous (this follows from Proposition 2.8 and [35, Theorem 3.8]).

4. AN EXAMPLE OF A COMMUTATIVE O*-ALGEBRA WITH A NONZERO DERIVATION

Consider the inner product space $\mathcal{D} = S(\mathbb{R})$ of all infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ which are rapidly decreasing. The completion of $\mathcal{D}$ is the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Recall the position and momentum operators q and p from quantum mechanics.

Let A be the commutative $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ generated by q and 1. Then A is a commutative O*-algebra. For each $a \in A$, let $\delta(a) = pa - ap$. Observe that δ is nonzero since $q \in A$ and $\delta(q) = pq - qp = -\mathrm{i}\,\hbar 1 \neq 0$, where $\hbar$ is Planck's constant. We prove that $\delta(a) \in A$ for every $a \in A$, implying that δ is a nonzero derivation of A.

In proving that $\delta(A) \subset A$, we require the following observation.

LEMMA 4.1. *$q^n p - pq^n \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We will use mathematical induction. Firstly, $qp - pq = \mathrm{i}\,\hbar 1 \in A$. Now assume that $q^m p - pq^m \in A$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from the identity $qp - pq = \mathrm{i}\,\hbar 1$ that $q^k p - pq^k = q^{k-1}(pq) - (pq)q^{k-1} + \mathrm{i}\,\hbar q^{k-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} q^{m+1}p - pq^{m+1} &= q^m(pq) - (pq)q^m + \mathrm{i}\,\hbar q^m \\ &= (q^m p)q - (pq^m)q + \mathrm{i}\,\hbar q^m \\ &= (q^m p - pq^m)q + \mathrm{i}\,\hbar q^m \ \in \ A \end{aligned}$$

by assumption. By induction, $q^n p - pq^n \in A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ∎

Coming back to our claim, let $a \in A$. Then, by the very definition of A, it follows that $a = \alpha_n q^n + \alpha_{n-1} q^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1 q + \alpha_0 1$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(a) = pa - ap &= p(\alpha_n q^n + \alpha_{n-1} q^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1 q + \alpha_0 1) \\ &\quad - (\alpha_n q^n + \alpha_{n-1} q^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_1 q + \alpha_0 1)p \\ &= \alpha_n (pq^n - q^n p) + \alpha_{n-1}(pq^{n-1} - q^{n-1} p) + \cdots + \alpha_1 (pq - qp) \ \in \ A\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1. Consequently, the commutative O*-algebra A, defined as above, admits at least one nonzero derivation.

The *graph topology* [26] t_B on $\mathcal{D}$ induced by an O*-algebra B on $\mathcal{D}$ is defined by the family of seminorms $\|\phi\|_a = \|a\phi\|$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, where $a \in B$.

We equip an O*-algebra B on $\mathcal{D}$ with the *uniform topology* [26], which is defined by the following family of seminorms:

$$p_{\mathcal{M}}(a) = \sup_{\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}} |\langle a\phi, \psi \rangle|,$$

for all t_B-bounded subsets $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{D}$. The uniform topology of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is a direct generalization of the norm topology of the algebra of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space, although the preceding seminorms are not C*-seminorms. This motivates the following example.

Example 4.2. Consider the $*$-algebra A from above, and let $\overline{A}$ denote the closure of A in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ with respect to the uniform topology on $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$. We remark that our derivation δ can be defined, with the same formula, on the whole $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$, for which we retain the same symbol. Then $\delta(\overline{A}) \subset \overline{A}$, so that δ is a nonzero derivation of the commutative $*$-subalgebra $\overline{A}$ of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$.

In contrast to this fact, recall that commutative C*-algebras have no nonzero derivations.

If there is a $*$-subalgebra B of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ which is also a GB*-algebra in some topology τ, and it contains A, then $\delta(\overline{A}^\tau) \subset \overline{A}^\tau$, where $\overline{A}^\tau$ denotes the τ-closure of A in B. Furthermore, $\overline{A}^\tau$ is a (commutative) GB*-algebra [2, Proposition 2.9], implying that there is a commutative GB*-algebra having a nonzero derivation. The authors currently do not know if such a GB*-algebra B exists.

5. Derivations of GB*-algebras with $A[B_0]$ a W*-algebra

In this section, we give some results about derivations of GB*-algebras whose bounded part is a W*-algebra. We first give some examples of such GB*-algebras below. The motivation for this section comes mainly from [3], [4], [9] and [13].

Example 5.1. ([22, Example 3.3], [5, p. 292]) If M is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful semifinite normal trace τ, then the algebra $A = L^\omega(M,\tau)$ of Example 2.4 is a GB*-algebra with $A[B_0] = M$. Therefore $A[B_0]$ is a W*-algebra.

Example 5.2. If M is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful finite normal trace τ, then the algebra $A = LS(M)$ (see Section 2), equipped with the topology of convergence in measure τ_{cm}, is a (not necessarily locally convex) GB*-algebra with $A[B_0] = M$ [33, Theorem 1.5.29]. Under reasonable conditions, the topology τ_{cm} above is a locally convex topology [14, Section 1.5], implying that A is a (locally convex) GB*-algebra with $A[B_0]$ a W*-algebra.

Example 5.3. If M is a finite von Neumann algebra, we denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of all faithful finite normal traces on M. Let $M_f = \bigcap_{\mu\in\mathcal{F}} L^\omega(M,\mu)$ (we refer to Example 2.4 for the latter notation). By [6, Theorem 3.1] and the remark thereafter, $A = M_f$ is a GB*-algebra with $A[B_0] = M$.

If A is an algebra, we will, from here on, use the notation $Z(A)$ to denote the center of A.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $A[\tau]$ be a GB$^*-$algebra with $A[B_0]$ a W$^*-$algebra. If $\delta : A \to A$ is a continuous derivation of A, then $\delta(xz) = \delta(x)z$, for all $x \in A$ and $z \in Z(A[B_0])$ (such a derivation is called $Z-$linear, with $Z = Z(A[B_0])$).*

Proof. Since $A[B_0]$ is $\tau-$dense in A [10, Theorem 2], we have that $Z(A[B_0]) \subset Z(A)$. Therefore, for a projection $p \in Z(A[B_0])$, we get that

$$\delta(p) = \delta(p^2) = \delta(p)p + p\delta(p) = 2p\delta(p)\,.$$

Therefore $p\delta(p) = 2p\delta(p)$, implying that $p\delta(p) = 0$, and hence $\delta(p) = 0$.

Let $z \in Z(A[B_0])$. Since $Z(A[B_0])$ is a W$^*-$algebra, then z is the norm limit of the sequence $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{i_k} p_{i_k}\right)$, where $\lambda_{i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ and p_{i_k} are projections in $Z(A[B_0])$ for all $i_k \in \mathbb{N}$. So for $x \in A$ and $z \in Z(A[B_0])$, it follows from the continuity of δ, and the fact that τ is weaker than the norm topology on $A[B_0]$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(xz) &= \delta(x)z + x\delta(z)\\ &= \delta(x)z + x\delta\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_{i_k}p_{i_k}\right)\\ &= \delta(x)z + x\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_{i_k}\delta(p_{i_k}) = \delta(x)z\,.\end{aligned}$$

∎

At this point, we remark that if $A[B_0]$ in Proposition 5.4 is a properly infinite W*-algebra, then the derivation $\delta : A \to A$ is automatically Z-linear without the assumption of continuity [9, Proposition 6.22] and [13, Theorem 1]. Results involving Z-linearity of derivations of locally measurable operators can be found in [3].

THEOREM 5.5. ([3] and [4]) *Let M be a type I von Neumann algebra with center Z, and let A be an arbitrary $*-$subalgebra of the $*-$algebra $LS(M)$ of locally measurable operators affiliated with M, such that A contains M. If δ is a $Z-$linear derivation of A, then δ is spatial, i.e., there exists $a \in LS(M)$ such that $\delta(x) = ax - xa$ for all $x \in A$.*

Any GB*-algebra, whose bounded part is a W*-algebra, is $*-$isomorphic to an EW*$-$algebra [13, Corollary 2]. Moreover, every EW*$-$algebra B over the von Neumann algebra M is a full $*-$subalgebra of $LS(M)$ [13, Theorem 1] (see Section 2 for the definition of $LS(M)$). The term full means that $1 \in B$ and if $y \in B$, $x \in LS(M)$ and $0 \leq x \leq y$, then $x \in B$.

Using these facts, Corollary 5.6, Corollary 5.10, Proposition 5.12 and Proposition 5.13, given below, are analogues of the corresponding results for measurable and locally measurable operators given in [4], [3] and [9]. We give the proofs for sake of completeness.

COROLLARY 5.6. *Let $A[\tau]$ be a GB*-algebra with $A[B_0]$ a type I von Neumann algebra, such that all derivations on A are continuous. Then A is identifiable with an EW*$-$algebra B over the von Neumann algebra $M \cong A[B_0]$, such that all derivations of B are spatial and implemented by an element of $LS(M)$.*

Proof. From [13, Corollary 2], there exists an algebra $*$-isomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ of A onto B, where B is an EW*$-$algebra over the von Neumann algebra M, say. Therefore B admits a GB$^*-$topology τ' such that $B[\tau']$ is a GB$^*-$algebra topologically $*-$isomorphic to A, with bounded part B_{bd}, say (see discussion immediately after Proposition 2.3): Let $(p_i)_{i\in I}$ denote a family of seminorms defining the GB*-topology on A, and let $q_i(\phi(x)) = p_i(x)$ for every $x \in A$. Then the family of seminorms $(q_i)_{i\in I}$ defines a locally convex topology τ on B, such that $\phi : A \to B$ is a topological-algebraic $*$-isomorphism. It now follows easily that $B[\tau']$ is a GB*-algebra.

By [13, Corollary 2], $M = B_{bd}$. Therefore, since $A \cong B$ and thus $A[B_0] \cong B_{bd}$ [16, Theorem 7.14], we get that $A[B_0] \cong M$. This last isomorphism implements the isomorphism $Z(A[B_0])$ with $Z(M)$.

Let now $\delta : B \to B$ be a derivation of B. We then have that the map $\delta_\phi : A \to A : \delta_\phi(a) = \phi^{-1}(\delta(\phi(a)))$, for all $a \in A$, is a derivation of A, thus continuous from the hypothesis. Then from Proposition 5.4, δ_ϕ is $Z(A[B_0])-$linear. So from $Z(A[B_0]) \cong Z(M)$, we have that δ is $Z(M)-$linear and thus from Theorem 5.5, δ is implemented by an element of $LS(M)$. ∎

The next result and Corollary 5.9 that follows inform us that the spatiality of a derivation in the previous corollary can in fact be improved to innerness.

THEOREM 5.7. ([9, Proposition 5.17]) *Let B be a $*-$subalgebra of $LS(M)$ with $M \subset B$, such that if $x \in LS(M)$, $y \in B$ and $|x| \leq |y|$, then $x \in B$. If $w \in LS(M)$ is such that $wx - xw \in B$ for all $x \in B$, then there exists $v \in B$ such that $vx - xv = wx - xw$ for all $x \in B$.*

In proving Corollary 5.9, we need the following simple fact. Lemma 5.8 below is known and exists as Proposition 2.3.3 in the monograph [28], written in Russian. We include a proof for convenience of the reader.

LEMMA 5.8. *If $x \in LS(M)$, then $|x| \in LS(M)$.*

Proof. Let $x = u|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x. Since x is affiliated with M, it follows from [25, Theorem 6.1.11] that $u \in M$ and that $|x|$ is affiliated with M. We note that since $|x|$ is closed, $|x| = u^*x = \overline{u^*x} = u^* \cdot x$ (see Definition 2.5). Now, since $M \subset LS(M)$, and given the fact that $LS(M)$ is a $*-$algebra [36, p. 260], we get that $|x| \in LS(M)$. ∎

COROLLARY 5.9. *Let $A[\tau]$ be a GB$^*-$algebra with $A[B_0]$ a type I W$^*-$algebra. If all derivations of A are continuous, then all derivations of A are inner.*

Proof. From Corollary 5.6, A is identifiable with an EW*−algebra B over the von Neumann algebra $M \cong A[B_0]$, such that all derivations on B are spatial. Let $x \in LS(M)$, $y \in B$ and $|x| \leq |y|$. From Lemma 5.8, $|x| \in LS(M)$. Also from [21, Proposition 2.12], we get that $|y| \in B$. Recall that B is a full $*-$subalgebra of $LS(M)$. Therefore, we have that $|x| \in B$. By the polar decomposition of x, we then get that $x = u|x| \in MB \subset B$. It follows from Theorem 5.7 that every derivation of B is inner and thus every derivation of A is inner. ∎

Every commutative W*-algebra is of type I, and so the following result follows immediately from Corollary 5.9.

Corollary 5.10. *Let $A[\tau]$ be a commutative GB^*-algebra with $A[B_0]$ a W^*-algebra. Then the zero derivation is the only continuous derivation of A.*

If M is a type I von Neumann algebra, then, for any $x \in LS(M)$, there exists a sequence (z_n) of mutually orthogonal central projections in M such that $\bigvee_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z_n = 1$ and $z_n x \in M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let B be a $*$-subalgebra of $LS(M)$ such that $M \subset B$. If $D : B \to B$ is a derivation, then D can be extended to a derivation of $LS(M)$ by the formula $\tilde{D}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n D(z_n x)$, where $x \in LS(M)$ [3]. We summarize this in the following result, which we require in order to prove Proposition 5.12 and Proposition 5.13 below.

Proposition 5.11. ([3]) *Let M be a type I von Neumann algebra, and B a $*$-subalgebra of $LS(M)$ such that $M \subset B$. Then every derivation of B can be extended to a derivation of $LS(M)$.*

The following proposition shows that, under extra conditions, the continuity assumption for the derivation in the previous corollary can be dropped. We say that a von Neumann algebra M has an *atomic projection lattice* if for every nonzero projection $p \in M$, there exists a minimal projection $q \in M$ such that $q \leq p$.

Proposition 5.12. *Let $A[\tau]$ be a commutative GB^*-algebra such that $A[B_0]$ is a W^*-algebra having an atomic projection lattice. Then the zero derivation is the only derivation of A.*

Proof. By [13, Corollary 2 and Theorem 1], A is algebraically $*-$isomorphic to an EW$^*-$algebra B over a von Neumann algebra, say M, which is a full

$*-$subalgebra of $LS(M)$. By Proposition 5.11, every derivation of B can be extended to $LS(M)$. Since $LS(M)$ is commutative and M, being isomorphic with $A[B_0]$, has an atomic projection lattice, the zero derivation is the only derivation of $LS(M)$ ([8, Theorem 3.4] and [27, Theorem 2]). Therefore, B, and consequently A, has no nonzero derivations. ∎

An example of a GB*-algebra, with the hypothesis of the previous proposition, is Example 2.4, with the additional assumptions that M has an atomic projection lattice and is commutative.

Also, if (X, Σ, μ) is an atomic measure space satisfying the conditions of [14, Corollary 1.5.7(ii)], then, for $M = L_\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, we have that $LS(M) = \{M_f : f$ finite almost everywhere$\}$ is also a GB*-algebra of the kind in Proposition 5.12.

If M is a von Neumann algebra of type I_∞, then every derivation $\delta : LS(M) \to LS(M)$ is inner [4]. This is needed in the proof of our next proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.13. *Let $A[\tau]$ be a GB^*-algebra with $A[B_0]$ a type I_∞ W^*-algebra. Then all derivations of A are inner and thus continuous.*

Proof. By [13, Corollary 2 and Theorem 1], A is algebraically $*-$isomorphic to an EW*$-$algebra B whose underlying von Neumann algebra is a type I_∞ von Neumann algebra $M \cong A[B_0]$, and B is a $*-$subalgebra of $LS(M)$. By Proposition 5.11, every derivation can be extended to a derivation of $LS(M)$, which is inner. Therefore every derivation of B is spatial in $LS(M)$. Thus from Theorem 5.7, every derivation of B is inner. ∎

If $M = L_\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)\overline{\otimes}B(l^2)$, where (X, Σ, μ) is a localizable measure space, then M is a type I_∞ von Neumann algebra, and $LS(M) = L_0(X, \Sigma, \mu) \otimes B(l^2)$ is, under certain conditions (see [14, Section 1.5]), a GB*-algebra of the kind in Proposition 5.13.

Remark. An open problem is whether or not every derivation of a GB*-algebra is continuous. The authors are currently working on this problem for Fréchet GB*-algebras (see also [20, discussion after Theorem 5.2]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This material is based upon work for which the first author was financially supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa. Any opinion, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and therefore the NRF does not accept any liability in regard thereto.

The investigation of the structure of derivations of unbounded operator algebras is part of the PhD thesis, in final form, of the second author at the University of Athens, Greece, under the supervision of Professor M. Fragoulopoulou (see, for example, [20], [35] and [37]).

In January of 2013, the first author visited the Department of Mathematics of the University of Athens, Greece, where this work was completed. He wishes to thank this department for its warm hospitality. The authors wish to thank Professor M. Fragoulopoulou for helpful comments and suggestions for improvement of the manuscript.

Lastly, we would like to thank the referee for bringing to our knowledge reference [28] and for his/her comments on the improvement of Section 5.

References

[1] G.R. Allan, A spectral theory for locally convex algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **15** (1965), 399 – 421.

[2] G.R. Allan, On a class of locally convex algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **17** (1967), 91 – 114.

[3] S. Albeverio, Sh.A. Ayupov, K.K. Kudaybergenov, Derivations on the algebra of measurable operators affiliated with a type I von Neumann algebra, *Siberian Adv. Math.* **18** (2008), 86 – 94.

[4] S. Albeverio, Sh.A. Ayupov, K.K. Kudaybergenov, Structure of derivations on various algebras of measurable operators for type I von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), 2917 – 2943.

[5] S. Albeverio, Sh.A. Ayupov, K.K. Kudaybergenov, Non-commutative Arens algebras and their derivations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **253** (2007), 287 – 302.

[6] Sh.A. Ayupov, R.Z. Abdullaev, K.K. Kudaybergenov, On a certain class of operator algebras and their derivations, arXiv:math.OA/0908.1203v1, 9 August 2009.

[7] R. Becker, Derivations on LMC*-algebras, *Math. Nachr.* **155** (1992), 141 – 149.

[8] A.F. Ber, V.I. Chilin, F.A. Sukochev, Non-trivial derivations on commutative regular algebras, *Extracta Math.* **21** (2006), 107 – 147.

[9] A.F. Ber, B. de Pagter, F.A. Sukochev, Derivations in algebras of operator-valued functions, *J. Operator Theory* **66** (2011), 261 – 300.

[10] S.J. Bhatt, A note on generalized B^*-algebras, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **43** (1979), 253 – 257.

[11] O. Bratelli, D.W. Robinson, "Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I", Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.

[12] Chr. Brödel, G. Lassner, Derivationen auf gewissen Op*-Algebren, *Math. Nachr.* **67** (1975), 53 – 58.

[13] V.I. Chilin, B.S. Zakirov, Abstract characterization of EW^*-algebras, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25** (1991), 63 – 64.

[14] C.L. Crowther, "Aspects of Duality Theory for Spaces of Measurable Operators", Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cape Town, South Africa, 1997.

[15] H.G. Dales, "Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity", The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[16] P.G. Dixon, Generalized B^*-algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **21** (1970), 693 – 715.

[17] P.G. Dixon, Unbounded operator algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **23** (1971), 53 – 69.

[18] M. Fragoulopoulou, "Topological Algebras with Involution", North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2005.

[19] M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue, K.-D. Kürsten, Old and new results on Allan's GB*-algebras, in "Banach Algebras 2009", Banach Center Publ., 91, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2010, 169 – 178.

[20] M. Fragoulopoulou, M. Weigt, I. Zarakas, Derivations of locally convex $*$-algebras, *Extracta Math.* **26** (2011), 45 – 60.

[21] I. Inoue, On a class of unbounded operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **65** (1976), 77 – 95.

[22] A. Inoue, K.-D. Kürsten, On C^*-like locally convex $*$-algebras, *Math. Nachr.* **235** (2002), 51 – 58.

[23] A. Inoue, S. Ota, Derivations on algebras of unbounded operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **261** (1980), 567 – 577.

[24] B.E. Johnson, "Cohomology in Banach Algebras", Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 127, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1972.

[25] R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, "Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras", Academic Press, New York, 1996.

[26] G. Lassner, Topological algebras of operators, *Rep. Mathematical Phys.* **3** (1972), 279 – 293.

[27] M.A. Muratov, V.I. Chilin, *-Algebras of unbounded operators affiliated to a von Neumann algebra, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **140** (2007), 445 – 451.

[28] M.A. Muratov, V.I. Chilin, Algebras of measurable and locally measurable operators, Kyiv, Pratsi Inty Matematiki NAN Ukraini, **69**, 2007 (in Russian).

[29] A.Yu. Pirkovskii, Arens-Michael envelopes, homological epimorphisms, and relatively quasifree algebras, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **69** (2008), 24 – 104.

[30] N.C. Phillips, Inner derivations on σ-C^*-algebras, *Math. Nachr.* **176** (1995), 243 – 247.

[31] J.R. Ringrose, Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **5** (1972), 432 – 438.

[32] S. Sakai, Operator algebras in dynamical systems: the theory of unbounded derivations in C^*-Algebras, in "Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 41", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[33] M. Weigt, "Jordan Homomorphisms and Derivations between Algebras of Measurable Operators", Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cape Town, South Africa, 2008.

[34] M. Weigt, Derivations of τ-measurable operators, in "Operator Algebras, Operator Theory and Applications", Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010 273–286.

[35] M. Weigt, I. Zarakas, A note on derivations of pro-C*-algebras into complete locally convex bimodules, *New Zealand J. Math.* **41** (2011), 143–152.

[36] F.J. Yeadon, Convergence of measurable operators, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **74** (1973), 257–268.

[37] I. Zarakas, Hilbert pro-C*-bimodules and applications, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **57** (2012), 289–310.