V Curso Espacios de Banach y Operadores. Laredo, Agosto de 2003.

## **Renorming and Operators**

Sebastián Lajara, Antonio J. Pallarés

Dpto. de Matemáticas, Universidad de Castilla La Mancha, Escuela Politécnica Superior Avda. de España s/n, 02071 Albacete, Spain Dpto. de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, Campus de Espinardo 30100 Espinardo (Murcia), Spain

 $e ext{-}mail: Sebastian. Lajara@uclm.es, apall@um.es$ 

AMS Subject Class. (2000): 46B03, 46B06

This communication presented in the summer course "Espacios de Banach y operadores" help in Laredo (Spain), august 2003, is an annoucement of some results about MLUR renorming of Banach spaces. These results will appear in [6].

Let us start by recalling some convexity properties of norms. Let (X, || ||) be a Banach space. We say that X (or the norm of X) is:

- (1) locally uniformly rotund (LUR for short) if, for every x and every sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in X such that  $||x_n + x|| \to 2||x||$  and  $||x_n|| \to ||x||$ , we have  $||x_n x|| \to 0$ ;
- (2) midpoint locally uniformly rotund (MLUR for short) if, for every x and every sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in X such that  $||x_n+x|| \to ||x||$  and  $||x_n-x|| \to ||x||$ , we have  $||x_n|| \to 0$ ;
- (3) strictly convex or rotund (R for short) if x = y whenever x and y are points of X such that  $||x|| = ||y|| = ||\frac{x+y}{2}||$ , i.e., if the unit sphere of X does not contain any nondegenerate segment.

It is clear that LUR  $\Rightarrow$  MLUR and that MLUR  $\Rightarrow$  R. The converse implications are not true in general, even under renormings: as dual of a separable space,  $\ell_{\infty}$  has an equivalent (dual) rotund norm, but it does not admit MLUR renorming [2]. In the paper [5], Haydon showed the first example of MLUR space with no equivalent LUR norm.

Banach spaces with equivalent MLUR norms were characterized in [8], in terms of countable decompositions of such spaces, involving the following

Supported by MCYT and FEDER BFM 2002-01719

DEFINITION 1. Let A be a subset of a Banach space  $(X, \| \ \|)$ . A point  $x \in A$  is said to be a  $\epsilon$ -strongly extreme point of A if there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|u - v\| < \epsilon$  whenever u and v are points in A with  $\|x - \frac{u+v}{2}\| < \delta$ .

It is easy to see that X is MLUR if and only if every point of the unit sphere is a  $\epsilon$ -strongly extreme point of the unit ball, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . The characterization of MLUR spaces mentioned above is given by the following

THEOREM 1. ([8], THEOREM 1) A Banach space X admits an equivalent MLUR norm if, and only if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we have a countable decomposition

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n,\epsilon}$$

in such a way that every  $x \in X_{n,\epsilon}$  is a  $\epsilon$ -strongly extreme point of the convex envelope  $co(X_{n,\epsilon})$ .

A similar result was proved for LUR renormability in [7] and [10], where roughly speaking,  $\epsilon$ -strong extremality is replaced by  $\epsilon$ -dentability.

Theorem 2. ([7], Main Theorem) A Banach space X has an equivalent LUR norm if, and only if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we have a countable decomposition

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n,\epsilon}$$

in such a way that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in X_{n,\epsilon}$  there is an open half space  $H \subset X$  such that  $x \in H$  and  $\operatorname{diam}(H \cap X_{n,\epsilon}) < \epsilon$ . Recall that an open half space of X is a set of the form  $H = f^{-1}(\alpha, \infty)$ , with  $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This result has motivated the following notion, introduced and extensively studied by Moltó, Orihuela, Troyanski and Valdivia in their recent memoir [8], where a non linear transfer method for LUR renormability is provided.

DEFINITION 2. Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let A be a subset of X. A map  $\Psi: A \to Y$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -slicely continuous if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we may write

$$A = \bigcup_{n} A_{n,\epsilon}$$

in such a way that for every  $x \in A_{n,\epsilon}$  there exists an open half space H such that  $x \in H$  and diam  $\Psi(H \cap A_{n,\epsilon}) < \epsilon$ .

We are going to combine the covering characterization of Theorem 1 and some properties of  $\sigma$ -slicely continuous maps to get some results about MLUR renormability on Banach spaces. Our first theorem contains, as a particular case, a version of the three space property for MLUR norms.

THEOREM 3. Let X be a Banach space. Suppose that there exist a closed MLUR renormable subspace Y of X and a  $\sigma$ -slicely continuous map  $\Phi: X \to X$  such that  $x - \Phi x \in Y$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then X admits an equivalent MLUR norm.

The basic idea to prove this result is to get  $\epsilon$ -MLUR decompositions on X from  $\epsilon$ -MLUR decompositions of Y via the operator  $Id - \Phi$ . The map  $\Phi: X \to X$  given by  $\Phi = g \circ Q$ , where  $Q: X \to X/Y$  is the quotient map and X/Y is LUR renormable, and  $g: X/Y \to X$  is a continuous selector, is  $\sigma$ -slicely continuous. If moreover Y has an MLUR renorming, we obtain the following result Alexandrov [1] (see also [3, p. 181]).

COROLLARY 1. Let X be a Banach space. Suppose that there exists a closed subspace Y of X with an equivalent MLUR norm and such that the quotient X/Y is LUR renormable. Then X is MLUR renormable.

Let us recall that MLUR is not a three space property. In the paper [5] Haydon provided an example of Banach space X with a closed subspace Y such that Y and X/Y admit a LUR norm and a MLUR norm, respectively, while X does not have any equivalent rotund norm.

As another application of our technique we get a partial generalization of a result of Haydon ([5, Proposition 5.3]), which is the main tool for the construction of MLUR norms in  $C(\Upsilon)$  spaces,  $\Upsilon$  a tree.

THEOREM 4. Let K be a locally compact space. Suppose that there exist a  $\sigma$ -slicely continuous map  $\Psi: C_0(K) \to c_0(\Gamma)$  and a family  $\{K_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of closed and open subsets of K with the following properties:

- (1) for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $C_0(K_{\gamma})$  is MLUR renormable;
- (2) for each  $x \in C_0(K)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , supp $(x) \subset \bigcup \{K_\gamma : \Psi x(\gamma) \neq 0\}$ .

Then  $C_0(K)$  admits an equivalent MLUR norm.

The idea now to obtain the  $\epsilon$ -MLUR decompositions in  $C_0(K)$  is to use the  $\sigma$ -slicely continuity of  $\Psi$  and condition (2) to get a first decomposition where the functions x can be approximated by its restriction on some  $K_{\gamma}$ , and to transfer the MLUR decompositions of the spaces  $C_0(K_{\gamma})$ .

## References

- [1] ALEXANDROV, G., On the three space problem for MLUR renorming of Banach spaces, C.R. Bulg. Acad. Sci., 42 (1989), 17–20.
- [2] ALEXANDROV, G., DIMITROV, I., On equivalent weakly midpoint locally uniformly rotund renormings of the space  $\ell_{\infty}$ , Math. and Math. Education, Proc. 14th Spring Conference of the Union of Bulg. Mathematicians, Sunny Beach, 1985, 189–191 (russian).
- [3] CASTILLO, J.M., GONZÁLEZ, M., "Three Space Problems in Banach Space Theory", Lecture Notes in Math. 1667, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [4] DEVILLE, D., GODEFROY, G., ZIZLER, V., "Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces", Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Appl. Math. 64, Longman Scientific and Technical, Longman House, Burnt Mill, Harlow, 1993.
- [5] HAYDON, R., Trees in renorming theory, Proc. London Math. Soc. 78 (1999), 541-585.
- [6] LAJARA, S., PALLARÉS, A.J., MLUR renormings of Banach spaces and σ-slicely continuous maps (preprint).
- [7] MOLTÓ, A., ORIHUELA, J., TROYANSKI, S., Locally uniformly rotund renorming and fragmentability, Proc. London Math. Soc. 75 (1997), 619-640.
- [8] MOLTÓ, A., ORIHUELA, J., TROYANSKI, S., VALDIVIA, M., Midpoint locally uniformly rotundity and a decomposition method for renorming, Quartely Journal of Mathematics 52 (2001), 181–193.
- [9] Moltó, A., Orihuela, J., Troyanski, S., Valdivia, M., A non linear transfer technique (preprint).
- [10] Raja, M., On locally uniformly rotund norms, Mathematika 46 (1999), 343-358.