

# The Geometry of $\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$ and Optimal Constants in the Bohnenblust-Hille Inequality for Multilinear Forms and Polynomials

SUNG GUEN KIM \*

*Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University,  
Daegu 702 – 701, South Korea, sgk317@knu.ac.kr*

Presented by Ricardo García

Received December 23, 2016

*Abstract:* We classify the extreme and exposed 3-linear forms of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$ . We introduce optimal constants in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for symmetric multilinear forms and polynomials and investigate about their relations.

*Key words:* Extreme points, exposed points, the optimal constants in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for symmetric multilinear forms and polynomials.

AMS *Subject Class.* (2010): 46A22.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We write  $B_E$  for the closed unit ball of a real Banach space  $E$  and the dual space of  $E$  is denoted by  $E^*$ .  $x \in B_E$  is called an *extreme point* of  $B_E$  if  $y, z \in B_E$  with  $x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$  implies  $x = y = z$ .  $x \in B_E$  is called an *exposed point* of  $B_E$  if there is a  $f \in E^*$  so that  $f(x) = 1 = \|f\|$  and  $f(y) < 1$  for every  $y \in B_E \setminus \{x\}$ . It is easy to see that every exposed point of  $B_E$  is an extreme point. We denote by  $extB_E$  and  $expB_E$  the sets of extreme and exposed points of  $B_E$ , respectively. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . A mapping  $P : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial if there exists a continuous  $n$ -linear form  $L$  on the product  $E \times \cdots \times E$  such that  $P(x) = L(x, \dots, x)$  for every  $x \in E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(^nE)$  the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -linear forms on  $E$  endowed with the norm  $\|L\| = \sup_{\|x_j\|=1, 1 \leq j \leq n} |L(x_1, \dots, x_n)|$ .  $\mathcal{L}_s(^nE)$  denotes the closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(^nE)$  consisting all continuous symmetric  $n$ -linear forms on  $E$ .  $\mathcal{P}(^nE)$  denotes the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $E$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  endowed with the norm  $\|P\| =$

---

\*This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2013R1A1A2057788).

$\sup_{\|x\|=1} |P(x)|$ . Note that the spaces  $\mathcal{L}(^n E)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_s(^n E)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(^n E)$  are very different from a geometric point of view. In particular, for integral multilinear forms and integral polynomials one has ([2], [9], [32])

$$\text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}_I(^n E)} = \{\phi_1\phi_2 \cdots \phi_n : \phi_i \in \text{ext}B_{E^*}\}$$

and

$$\text{ext}B_{\mathcal{P}_I(^n E)} = \{\pm\phi^n : \phi \in E^*, \|\phi\| = 1\},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_I(^n E)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_I(^n E)$  are the spaces of integral  $n$ -linear forms and integral  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials on  $E$ , respectively. For more details about the theory of multilinear mappings and polynomials on a Banach space, we refer to [10].

In 1998, Choi *et al.* ([4], [5]) characterized the extreme points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2 l_1^2)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(^2 l_2^2)$ . Kim [15] classified the exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on  $\mathcal{P}(^2 l_p^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Kim ([17], [19], [23]) classified the extreme, exposed, smooth points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2 d_*(1, w)^2)$ , where  $d_*(1, w)^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the octagonal norm of weight  $w$ .

In 2009, Kim [16] initiated extremal problems for bilinear forms on a classical finite dimensional real Banach space and classified the extreme, exposed, smooth points of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2 l_\infty^2)$ . Kim ([18], [20]–[22]) classified the extreme, exposed, smooth points of the unit balls of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2 d_*(1, w)^2)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(^2 d_*(1, w)^2)$ .

We refer to ([1]–[9], [11]–[32] and references therein) for some recent work about extremal properties of multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials on some classical Banach spaces.

Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for  $n$ -linear forms ([3] and references therein) tells us that there exists a sequence of positive scalars  $(C(n : \mathbb{K}))_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $[1, \infty]$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^{\infty} |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \leq C(n : \mathbb{K}) \|T\|$$

for all continuous  $n$ -linear forms  $T : c_0 \times \cdots \times c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ . The optimal constant in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for  $n$ -linear forms  $C(n : \mathbb{K})$  is defined by

$$C(n : \mathbb{K}) := \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^{\infty} |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} : T \in \mathcal{L}(^n c_0 : \mathbb{K}), \|T\| = 1 \right\}.$$

We introduce the optimal constant in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for symmetric  $n$ -linear forms  $C_s(n : \mathbb{K})$  is defined by

$$C_s(n : \mathbb{K}) := \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^{\infty} |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} : T \in \mathcal{L}_s(^n c_0 : \mathbb{K}), \|T\| = 1 \right\}.$$

It is obvious that  $C_s(n : \mathbb{K}) \leq C(n : \mathbb{K})$ . We also introduce the optimal constant in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials  $C_p(n : \mathbb{K})$  is defined by

$$C_p(n : \mathbb{K}) := \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |P(e_j)|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} : P \in \mathcal{P}(^n c_0 : \mathbb{K}), \|P\| = 1 \right\}.$$

Recently, Diniz *et al.* [12] showed that  $C(2 : \mathbb{R}) = \sqrt{2}$ .

In this paper, we classify the extreme and exposed 3-linear forms of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$ . We introduce optimal constants in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for symmetric multilinear forms and polynomials and investigate about their relations.

## 2. THE EXTREME POINTS OF THE UNIT BALL OF $\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned} T((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2)) &= ax_1y_1z_1 + bx_2y_2z_2 + c_1x_2y_1z_1 + c_2x_1y_2z_1 \\ &\quad + c_3x_1y_1z_2 + d_1x_1y_2z_2 + d_2x_2y_1z_2 + d_3x_2y_2z_1 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $a, b, c_j, d_j \in \mathbb{R}$  and for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . For simplicity, we will denote  $T = (a, b, c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2, d_3)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $T = (a, b, c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \max \{ |a + c_1 + c_2 + d_3| + |b + c_3 + d_1 + d_2|, \\ &\quad |a - c_2 - c_3 + d_1| + |b + c_1 - d_2 - d_3|, \\ &\quad |a - b + c_3 - d_3| + |c_1 - c_2 - d_1 + d_2|, \\ &\quad |a + b - c_1 - d_1| + |c_2 - c_3 + d_2 - d_3| \}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{ext}B_{l_2^\infty} = \{(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$ . By the Krein-Milman Theorem,  $B_{l_2^\infty} = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{ext}B_{l_2^\infty})$ . By the continuity and trilinearity of  $T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\| &= \max \left\{ |T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, 1))|, |T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 1))| \right. \\ &\quad |T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, 1))|, |T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, -1))|, \\ &\quad |T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, 1))|, |T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, -1))|, \\ &\quad |T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, -1))|, |T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, -1))| \left. \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ |a + c_1 + c_2 + d_3| + |b + c_3 + d_1 + d_2|, \right. \\ &\quad |a - c_2 - c_3 + d_1| + |b + c_1 - d_2 - d_3|, \\ &\quad |a - b + c_3 - d_3| + |c_1 - c_2 - d_1 + d_2|, \\ &\quad \left. |a + b - c_1 - d_1| + |c_2 - c_3 + d_2 - d_3| \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

■

Note that if  $\|T\| = 1$ , then  $|a| \leq 1$ ,  $|b| \leq 1$ ,  $|c_j| \leq 1$ ,  $|d_j| \leq 1$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

### THEOREM 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_2^\infty)} &= \left\{ (a, b, c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2, d_3) : \right. \\ &\quad a = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad b = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad c_1 = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad c_2 = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad c_3 = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad d_1 = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad d_2 = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad d_3 = \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\ &\quad \left. \epsilon_j = \pm 1, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $T = (a, b, c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, 1)) &= a + b + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3, \\ T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 1)) &= a - b - c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + d_1 - d_2 - d_3, \\ T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, 1)) &= a - b + c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - d_1 + d_2 - d_3, \\ T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, -1)) &= a - b + c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - d_1 - d_2 + d_3, \\ T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, 1)) &= a + b - c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - d_1 - d_2 + d_3, \\ T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, -1)) &= a + b - c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - d_1 + d_2 - d_3, \\ T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, -1)) &= a + b + c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + d_1 - d_2 - d_3, \\ T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, -1)) &= a - b - c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 8}$  be the  $8 \times 8$  matrix such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i1} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, 8), & a_{i2} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 5, 6, 7), & a_{k2} &= -1 \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, 8), \\ a_{i3} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 3, 4, 7), & a_{k3} &= -1 \quad (k = 2, 5, 6, 8), & a_{i4} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 4, 6), \\ a_{k4} &= -1 \quad (k = 3, 5, 7, 8), & a_{i5} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 5), & a_{k5} &= -1 \quad (k = 4, 6, 7, 8), \\ a_{i6} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, 7, 8), & a_{k6} &= -1 \quad (k = 3, 4, 5, 6), & a_{i7} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 3, 6, 8), \\ a_{k7} &= -1 \quad (k = 2, 4, 5, 7), & a_{i8} &= 1 \quad (i = 1, 4, 5, 8), & a_{k8} &= -1 \quad (k = 2, 3, 6, 7). \end{aligned}$$

By calculation,  $\det(A) = -2^{12}$ , so  $A$  is invertible. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} AT = & \left( T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, 1)), T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 1)), \right. \\ & T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, 1)), T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, -1)), \\ & T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, 1)), T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, -1)), \\ & \left. T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, -1)), T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, -1)) \right)^t \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|AT\|_\infty = \|T\|$ . Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} T = A^{-1} & \left( T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, 1)), T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 1)), \right. \\ & T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, 1)), T((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, -1)), \\ & T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, 1)), T((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, -1)), \\ & \left. T((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, -1)), T((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, -1)) \right)^t. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that  $T \in \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |T((1,1),(1,1),(1,1))| = |T((1,-1),(1,1),(1,1))| \\ &= |T((1,1),(1,-1),(1,1))| = |T((1,1),(1,1),(1,-1))| \\ &= |T((1,-1),(1,-1),(1,1))| = |T((1,-1),(1,1),(1,-1))| \\ &= |T((1,1),(1,-1),(1,-1))| = |T((1,-1),(1,-1),(1,-1))|. \end{aligned}$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Otherwise. Then we have 8 cases as follows:

- Case 1 :  $|T((1,1),(1,1),(1,1))| < 1$  or
- Case 2 :  $|T((1,-1),(1,1),(1,1))| < 1$  or
- Case 3 :  $|T((1,1),(1,-1),(1,1))| < 1$  or
- Case 4 :  $|T((1,1),(1,1),(1,-1))| < 1$  or
- Case 5 :  $|T((1,-1),(1,-1),(1,1))| < 1$  or
- Case 6 :  $|T((1,-1),(1,1),(1,-1))| < 1$  or
- Case 7 :  $|T((1,1),(1,-1),(1,-1))| < 1$  or
- Case 8 :  $|T((1,-1),(1,-1),(1,-1))| < 1$ .

Case 1:  $|T((1,1),(1,1),(1,1))| < 1$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &:= T((1,1),(1,1),(1,1)), \\ \epsilon_2 &:= T((1,-1),(1,1),(1,1)), \\ \epsilon_3 &:= T((1,1),(1,-1),(1,1)), \\ \epsilon_4 &:= T((1,1),(1,1),(1,-1)), \\ \epsilon_5 &:= T((1,-1),(1,-1),(1,1)), \\ \epsilon_6 &:= T((1,-1),(1,1),(1,-1)), \\ \epsilon_7 &:= T((1,1),(1,-1),(1,-1)), \\ \epsilon_8 &:= T((1,-1),(1,-1),(1,-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$AT = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8)^t.$$

Let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\epsilon_1| + \frac{1}{n_0} < 1$ . Let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$  be the solutions of

$$AT_1 = \left( \epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{n_0}, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8 \right)^t, \quad AT_2 = \left( \epsilon_1 - \frac{1}{n_0}, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8 \right)^t.$$

Note that  $T_j \neq T$ ,  $\|T_j\| = \|AT_j\|_\infty = 1$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . It follows that

$$A\left(\frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)\right) = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8)^t = AT,$$

which shows that

$$\frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2) = A^{-1}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8)^t = T,$$

so  $T$  is not extreme. By the similar argument in the Case 1, if any of Cases 2–8 holds, then we may reach to a contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $\epsilon_j \in \mathbb{R}$  be given for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ . Consider the following system of 8 simultaneous linear equations:  $AT = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8)^t$ , ie,

$$\begin{aligned} a + b + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 &= \epsilon_1, \\ a - b - c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + d_1 - d_2 - d_3 &= \epsilon_2, \\ a - b + c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - d_1 + d_2 - d_3 &= \epsilon_3, \\ a - b + c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - d_1 - d_2 + d_3 &= \epsilon_4, \\ a + b - c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - d_1 - d_2 + d_3 &= \epsilon_5, \\ a + b - c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - d_1 + d_2 - d_3 &= \epsilon_6, \\ a + b + c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + d_1 - d_2 - d_3 &= \epsilon_7, \\ a - b - c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 &= \epsilon_8. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

We get the unique solution of (\*) as follows:  $T = A^{-1}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7, \epsilon_8)^t$ , ie,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\ b &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ c_1 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ c_3 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\ d_1 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\ d_2 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\ d_3 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8). \end{aligned} \tag{**}$$

Let  $T_1 = (a + \epsilon, b + \delta, c_1 + \gamma_1, c_2 + \gamma_2, c_3 + \gamma_3, d_1 + \rho_1, d_2 + \rho_2, d_3 + \rho_3) \in \mathcal{L}({}^3l_\infty^2)$  and  $T_2 = (a - \epsilon, b - \delta, c_1 - \gamma_1, c_2 - \gamma_2, c_3 - \gamma_3, d_1 - \rho_1, d_2 - \rho_2, d_3 - \rho_3) \in \mathcal{L}({}^3l_\infty^2)$  be such that  $1 = \|T_1\| = \|T_2\|$  for some  $\epsilon, \delta, \gamma_j, \rho_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Then, for  $k = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq |T_k((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, 1))| = 1 + |\epsilon + \delta + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3|, \\ 1 &\geq |T_k((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 1))| = 1 + |\epsilon - \delta - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \rho_1 - \rho_2 - \rho_3|, \\ 1 &\geq |T_k((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, 1))| = 1 + |\epsilon - \delta + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 - \rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_3|, \\ 1 &\geq |T_k((1, 1), (1, 1), (1, -1))| = 1 + |\epsilon - \delta + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 - \rho_1 - \rho_2 + \rho_3|, \\ 1 &\geq |T_k((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, 1))| = 1 + |\epsilon + \delta - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 - \rho_1 - \rho_2 + \rho_3|, \\ 1 &\geq |T_k((1, -1), (1, 1), (1, -1))| = 1 + |\epsilon + \delta - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 - \rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_3|, \\ 1 &\geq |T_k((1, 1), (1, -1), (1, -1))| = 1 + |\epsilon + \delta + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 + \rho_1 - \rho_2 - \rho_3|, \\ 1 &\geq |T_k((1, -1), (1, -1), (1, -1))| = 1 + |\epsilon - \delta - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \epsilon + \delta + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3, \\ 0 &= \epsilon - \delta - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \rho_1 - \rho_2 - \rho_3, \\ 0 &= \epsilon - \delta + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 - \rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_3, \\ 0 &= \epsilon - \delta + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 - \rho_1 - \rho_2 + \rho_3, \\ 0 &= \epsilon + \delta - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 - \rho_1 - \rho_2 + \rho_3, \\ 0 &= \epsilon + \delta - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 - \rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_3, \\ 0 &= \epsilon + \delta + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 + \rho_1 - \rho_2 - \rho_3, \\ 0 &= \epsilon - \delta - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $A(\epsilon, \delta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)^t = 0$ . By (\*\*),  $0 = \epsilon = \delta = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3$ . Hence,  $T$  is extreme. Therefore, we complete the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *If  $T = (a, b, c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \text{extB}_{\mathcal{L}({}^3l_\infty^2)}$ , then  $|a|, |b|, |c_j|, |d_j| \in \{0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1\}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .*

THEOREM 2.4. ([26])

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)} = \Big\{ & \pm(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \pm(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ & \pm\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right), \pm\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0\right), \\ & \pm\left(\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right), \pm\left(-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right), \\ & \pm\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}\right), \\ & \pm\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.5.  $\text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)} = \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} \cap \mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. ■

*Remarks.* (1)  $2^4 = |\text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)}| < |\text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}| = 2^8$ .

(2) Let  $T = (a, b, c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$ . Then, by scaling, we may assume that  $d_j \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_1((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2)) := T((\epsilon_1 x_1, x_2), (\epsilon_2 y_1, y_2)), (\epsilon_3 z_1, z_2)$ , where  $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$  be given satisfying  $\epsilon_j d_j \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . ■

QUESTION. Is it true that  $\text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_\infty^2)} = \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_\infty^2)} \cap \mathcal{L}_s(^n l_\infty^2)$  for  $n \geq 4$ ?

### 3. THE EXPOSED POINTS OF THE UNIT BALL OF $\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)$

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)^*$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= f(x_1 y_1 z_1), \quad \beta = f(x_2 y_2 z_2), \quad \gamma_1 = f(x_2 y_1 z_1), \quad \gamma_2 = f(x_1 y_2 z_1), \\ \gamma_3 &= f(x_1 y_1 z_2), \quad \delta_1 = f(x_1 y_2 z_2), \quad \delta_2 = f(x_2 y_1 z_2), \quad \delta_3 = f(x_2 y_2 z_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\| &= \max \left\{ \left| a\alpha + b\beta + \sum_{j=1,2,3} c_j \gamma_j + \sum_{j=1,2,3} d_j \delta_j \right| : \right. \\
a &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\
b &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\
c_1 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\
c_2 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\
c_3 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8), \\
d_1 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\
d_2 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\
d_3 &= \frac{1}{8}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8), \\
\epsilon_j &= \pm 1, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \left. \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.2 and the Krein-Milman Theorem. ■

**THEOREM 3.2.**  $\exp B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} = \text{ext} B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $\text{ext} B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} \subset \exp B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$ . By Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.3 and Remarks(2), it suffices to show that if

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \left( -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right) \\
&\quad \left( -\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right), \left( \frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

then  $T \in \exp B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$ .

Claim:  $T = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \exp B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)^*$  with  $\alpha = 1, 0 = \beta = \gamma_j = \delta_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Note that, by Corollary 2.3 and Theorems 2.2 and 3.1,  $\|f\| = 1 = f(T)$  and  $|f(S)| < 1$  for all  $S \in \text{ext} B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} \setminus \{\pm T\}$ . The claim follows from Theorem 2.3 of [21].

Claim:  $T = \left( -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right) \in \text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)^*$  with  $-\alpha = \frac{1}{2} = \beta = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2, 0 = \gamma_3 = \delta_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Note that, by Corollary 2.3 and Theorems 2.2 and 3.1,  $\|f\| = 1 = f(T)$  and  $|f(S)| < 1$  for all  $S \in \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} \setminus \{\pm T\}$ . The claim follows from Theorem 2.3 of [21].

Claim:  $T = \left( -\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) \in \text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)^*$  with  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{14} = \beta = \gamma_j = \delta_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Note that, by Corollary 2.3 and Theorems 2.2 and 3.1,  $\|f\| = 1 = f(T)$  and  $|f(S)| < 1$  for all  $S \in \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} \setminus \{\pm T\}$ . The claim follows from Theorem 2.3 of [21].

Claim:  $T = \left( \frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) \in \text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)^*$  with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{5}{14} = \beta = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = -\gamma_3 = -\delta_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Note that, by Corollary 2.3 and Theorems 2.2 and 3.1,  $\|f\| = 1 = f(T)$  and  $|f(S)| < 1$  for all  $S \in \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} \setminus \{\pm T\}$ . The claim follows from Theorem 2.3 of [21]. We complete the proof. ■

THEOREM 3.3. ([26])  $\text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)} = \text{ext}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)}$ .

THEOREM 3.4.  $\text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)} = \text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}(^3l_\infty^2)} \cap \mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. ■

QUESTION. Is it true that  $\text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_\infty^2)} = \text{exp}B_{\mathcal{L}(^n l_\infty^2)} \cap \mathcal{L}_s(^n l_\infty^2)$  for  $n \geq 4$ ?

#### 4. OPTIMAL CONSTANTS IN THE BOHNENBLUST-HILLE INEQUALITY FOR SYMMETRIC MULTILINEAR FORMS AND POLYNOMIALS

THEOREM 4.1.  $1 \leq C_p(n : \mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{n^n}{n!} C_s(n : \mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{n^n}{n!} C(n : \mathbb{K})$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $C_p(n : \mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{n^n}{n!} C_s(n : \mathbb{K})$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n c_0 : \mathbb{K})$ ,  $\|P\| = 1$ . By the polarization formula,  $\|\check{P}\| \leq \frac{n^n}{n!} \|P\| = \frac{n^n}{n!}$ , where  $\check{P}$  is the corresponding symmetric  $n$ -linear form to  $P$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{n!}{n^n} P(e_j) \right|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} &\leq \left( \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{n!}{n^n} \check{P}(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}) \right|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \\ &\leq C_s(n : \mathbb{K}). \end{aligned}$$

■

THEOREM 4.2.  $C_s(2 : \mathbb{R}) = C(2 : \mathbb{R}) = \sqrt{2}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $C_s(2 : \mathbb{R}) = \sqrt{2}$ . Let

$$T((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \frac{1}{2}x_1y_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1y_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2y_1.$$

Then  $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\|T\| = 1$ . By a result of [12],  $\sqrt{2} \leq (\sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{4}{3}})^{\frac{3}{4}} \leq C_s(2 : \mathbb{R}) \leq C(2 : \mathbb{R}) = \sqrt{2}$ . ■

THEOREM 4.3. ([16])

$$\text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left\{ \pm(1, 0, 0, 0), \pm(0, 1, 0, 0), \pm\frac{1}{2}(1, -1, 1, 1), \pm\frac{1}{2}(1, -1, -1, -1) \right\}.$$

THEOREM 4.4.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} : T \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2), \|T\| = 1, T \notin \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right\} \\ &= C_s(2 : \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let

$$l := \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} : T \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2), \|T\| = 1, T \notin \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right\}.$$

For  $|c| < \frac{1}{2}$ , let

$$T_c((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \frac{1}{2}x_1y_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2y_2 + cx_1y_2 + cx_2y_1.$$

Then  $T_c \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\|T_c\| = 1$ . By Theorem 4.3,  $T_c \notin \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_s(2 : \mathbb{R}) &\geq l \geq \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T_c(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} : |c| < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{|c| < \frac{1}{2}} \left( 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} + 2|c|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} = \sqrt{2} = C_s(2 : \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

■

THEOREM 4.5. Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then,  $2^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \leq C_p(n : \mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$w := \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |P(e_j)|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} : P \in \mathcal{P}(^n c_0), \|P\| = 1, \right. \\ \left. P((x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j x_j^n \text{ for some } a_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Claim:  $w = 2^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}$ .

Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n c_0)$ ,  $\|P\| = 1$ ,  $P((x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j x_j^n$  for some  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $A := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : a_j \geq 0\}$  and  $B := \mathbb{N} \setminus A$ . Note that, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$1 \geq \left| P \left( \sum_{j \in A, j \leq k} e_j \right) \right| = \sum_{j \in A, j \leq k} |a_j|$$

and

$$1 \geq \left| P \left( \sum_{j \in B, j \leq k} e_j \right) \right| = \sum_{j \in B, j \leq k} |a_j|.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{j \in A} |a_j| \leq 1, \quad \sum_{j \in B} |a_j| \leq 1.$$

It follows that

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |P(e_j)|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} = \left( \sum_{j \in A} |a_j|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} + \sum_{j \in B} |a_j|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \\ \leq \left( \sum_{j \in A} |a_j| + \sum_{j \in B} |a_j| \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \\ \leq 2^{\frac{n+1}{2n}},$$

which shows that  $w \leq 2^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}$ . Let  $P_0((x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}) = x_1^n - x_2^n \in \mathcal{P}(^n c_0)$  for  $(x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in c_0$ . Then  $\|P_0\| = 1$ . Hence,

$$2^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |P_0(e_j)|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \leq w \leq 2^{\frac{n+1}{2n}}.$$

Therefore,  $2^{\frac{n+1}{2n}} \leq C_p(n : \mathbb{R})$ . We complete the proof. ■

COROLLARY 4.6.  $C(2 : \mathbb{R}) < 2^{\frac{3}{4}} \leq C_p(2 : \mathbb{R}) \leq 2\sqrt{2}$ .

THEOREM 4.7. Let  $T : l_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}) \times l_\infty^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $T(x, y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}x_iy_j$ , with  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_{12} = a_{21}$ . Then the symmetric bilinear forms satisfying

$$\left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} = \sqrt{2}\|T\|$$

are given by  $T(x, y) = a(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 + x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$  or  $T(x, y) = a(-x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 4.1 of [3]. ■

THEOREM 4.8. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(l_\infty^2)$ ,  $\|T\| = 1$ ,  $T(e_j, e_j) \neq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Then,

$$\left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{4}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} = C_s(2 : \mathbb{R})$$

if and only if  $T \in \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}_s(l_\infty^2)}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and 4.7. ■

THEOREM 4.9.

$$\sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{6}{4}} \right)^{\frac{4}{6}} : T \in \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(l_\infty^2)} \right\} = \frac{(7+3^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{2}{3}}}{4} < C(3 : \mathbb{R}).$$

*Proof.* Diniz *et al.* [12] showed that  $2^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq C(3 : \mathbb{R}) \leq 1.782$ . By Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3,

$$\sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |T(e_i, e_j)|^{\frac{6}{4}} \right)^{\frac{4}{6}} : T \in \text{ext } B_{\mathcal{L}(l_\infty^2)} \right\} = \frac{(7+3^{\frac{3}{2}})^{\frac{2}{3}}}{4} < 2^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq C(3 : \mathbb{R}).$$

QUESTIONS. (1) Is it true that  $C_s(n : \mathbb{R}) = C(n : \mathbb{R})$  for all  $n \geq 3$ ?  
(2) Is it true that  $C_p(2 : \mathbb{R}) = 2^{\frac{3}{4}}$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. ARON, Y.S. CHOI, S.G. KIM, M. MAESTRE, Local properties of polynomials on a Banach space, *Illinois J. Math.* **45** (1) (2001), 25–39.
- [2] C. BOYD, R.A. RYAN, Geometric theory of spaces of integral polynomials and symmetric tensor products, *J. Funct. Anal.* **179** (1) (2001), 18–42.
- [3] W. CAVALCANTE, D. PELLEGRINO, Geometry of the closed unit ball of the space of bilinear forms on  $l_\infty^2$ , arXiv:1603.01535v2.
- [4] Y.S. CHOI, H. KI, S.G. KIM, Extreme polynomials and multilinear forms on  $l_1$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **228** (2) (1998), 467–482.
- [5] Y.S. CHOI, S.G. KIM, The unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_2^2)$ , *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **71** (6) (1998), 472–480.
- [6] Y.S. CHOI, S.G. KIM, Extreme polynomials on  $c_0$ , *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** (10) (1998), 983–989.
- [7] Y.S. CHOI, S.G. KIM, Smooth points of the unit ball of the space  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_1)$ , *Results Math.* **36** (1-2) (1999), 26–33.
- [8] Y.S. CHOI, S.G. KIM, Exposed points of the unit balls of the spaces  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_p^2)$  ( $p = 1, 2, \infty$ ), *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **35** (1) (2004), 37–41.
- [9] V. DIMANT, D. GALICER, R. GARCÍA, Geometry of integral polynomials,  $M$ -ideals and unique norm preserving extensions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (5) (2012), 1987–2012.
- [10] S. DINEEN, “Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces”, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [11] S. DINEEN, Extreme integral polynomials on a complex Banach space, *Math. Scand.* **92** (1) (2003), 129–140.
- [12] D. DINIZ, G.A. MUÑOZ-FERNÁNDEZ, D. PELLEGRINO, J.B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA, Lower bounds for the constants in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality: the case of real scalars, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (2) (2014), 575–580.
- [13] B.C. GRECU, Geometry of 2-homogeneous polynomials on  $l_p$  spaces,  $1 < p < \infty$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **273** (2) (2002), 262–282.
- [14] B.C. GRECU, G.A. MUÑOZ-FERNÁNDEZ, J.B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA, Unconditional constants and polynomial inequalities, *J. Approx. Theory* **161** (2) (2009), 706–722.
- [15] S.G. KIM, Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on  $\mathcal{P}(^2l_p^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **107A** (2) (2007), 123–129.
- [16] S.G. KIM, The unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_\infty^2)$ , *Extracta Math.* **24** (1) (2009), 17–29.
- [17] S.G. KIM, The unit ball of  $\mathcal{P}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ , *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **111A** (2) (2011), 79–94.
- [18] S.G. KIM, The unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **53** (2) (2013), 295–306.
- [19] S.G. KIM, Smooth polynomials of  $\mathcal{P}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ , *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **113A** (1) (2013), 45–58.
- [20] S.G. KIM, Extreme bilinear forms of  $\mathcal{L}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **53** (4) (2013), 625–638.

- [21] S.G. KIM, Exposed symmetric bilinear forms of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **54** (3) (2014), 341–347.
- [22] S.G. KIM, Exposed bilinear forms of  $\mathcal{L}(^2d_*(1, w)^2)$ , *Kyungpook Math. J.* **55** (1) (2015), 119–126.
- [23] S.G. KIM, Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on the 2-dimensional real pre-dual of Lorentz sequence space, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **13** (5) (2016), 2827–2839.
- [24] S.G. KIM, The geometry of  $\mathcal{L}(^2l_\infty^2)$ , to appear in *Kyungpook Math. J.* **58** (2018).
- [25] S.G. KIM, The unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_\infty^3)$ , *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **57** (1) (2017), 1–7.
- [26] S.G. KIM, The geometry of  $\mathcal{L}_s(^3l_\infty^2)$ , *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **32** (4) (2017), 991–997.
- [27] S.G. KIM, S.H. LEE, Exposed 2-homogeneous polynomials on Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2) (2003), 449–453.
- [28] J. LEE, K.S. RIM, Properties of symmetric matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **305** (1) (2005), 219–226.
- [29] G.A. MUÑOZ-FERNÁNDEZ, S. RÉVÉSZ, J.B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA, Geometry of homogeneous polynomials on non symmetric convex bodies, *Math. Scand.* **105** (1) (2009), 147–160.
- [30] G.A. MUÑOZ-FERNÁNDEZ, J.B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA, Geometry of Banach spaces of trinomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340** (2) (2008), 1069–1087.
- [31] R.A. RYAN, B. TURETT, Geometry of spaces of polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **221** (2) (1998), 698–711.
- [32] W.M. RUESS, C.P. STEGALL, Extreme points in duals of operator spaces, *Math. Ann.* **261** (4) (1982), 535–546.