

## A Function on Exponential Convergence in a Fréchet Metric Space

D.K. GANGULY, BABLU BISWAS

*Department of Pure Mathematics, 35, Ballygunge Circular road, Calcutta-700019, India  
gangulydk@yahoo.co.in, bablubiswas100@yahoo.com*

Presented by Manuel Maestre

Received June 3, 2010

*Abstract:* This paper deals with some fundamental properties of a function defined on exponential convergence connecting with a monotonic increasing divergent sequence in a Fréchet metric space.

*Key words:* Borel classification of sets, first category, Baire class of sets, Lebesgue Measure and dense set.

AMS *Subject Class.* (2010): 40A05

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [1] the author investigated some properties on the exponential convergence of all real non-decreasing sequences. Being inspired by this paper we consider a positive non-decreasing sequence  $\{a_n\}_n$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = +\infty$  and we denote  $A = \{a_n\}_n$ . Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the collection of all the infinite subsequences of  $A$ . We consider  $\mathbf{S}$  as a metric space endowed with the Fréchet metric  $d(x, y)$  given by,

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{|x_k - y_k|}{1 + |x_k - y_k|}$$

where  $x = \{x_k\}$ ,  $y = \{y_k\} \in \mathbf{S}$ . The convergence in this space is considered as point-wise convergence. It is well known from the monograph [2] that for any monotonic increasing divergent sequence  $A = \{a_n\}_n$ ,  $a_n > 0$  there exists a unique real number  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-\sigma} = +\infty, \quad \text{for each } \sigma < \lambda$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-\sigma} < +\infty, \quad \text{for each } \sigma > \lambda,$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is a real number.

Here the number  $\lambda = \lambda(A)$  is called the exponent of convergence of the sequence  $A$ . It is formulated by

$$\inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-\sigma} < +\infty \right\} = \lambda.$$

It is known [3] that

$$\lambda(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log a_n}.$$

We now consider a function  $\lambda : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow [0, \lambda(A)]$  defined as

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda(A(x)) = \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}^{-\sigma} < +\infty \right\},$$

where  $x = \{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$ . It is clear that  $\lambda(x) = \lambda(A(x)) \leq \lambda(A)$  for every  $x \in \mathbf{S}$ .

## 2. SOME SET THEORETIC PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTION $\lambda$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The function  $\lambda : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow [0, \lambda(A)]$  is onto but not one to one.*

*Proof.* Case 1: Let  $t = 0$ . We can choose  $x = \{a_{k_n}\}$  in  $\mathbf{S}$  such that  $a_{k_n} > n^n$  for each natural number  $n$ . Then  $\lambda(x) = t$ .

Case 2: For  $t = \lambda(A)$  clearly we choose  $x = \{a_n\}$  so that  $\lambda(x) = t$ .

Case 3: Let  $t \in (0, \lambda(A))$ . We have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log(a_{l+k})} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(l+k)}{\log(a_{l+k})} \cdot \frac{\log k}{\log(l+k)} = \lambda(A) > t, \quad (1)$$

for any natural number  $l$ .

Now we can choose an integer  $P_1 \geq 1$  such that  $a_{P_1+2} > 1$  and  $\frac{\log 2}{\log(a_{P_1+2})} < t$ . By the result (1) we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log(a_{P_1+k})} > t.$$

Then there exists a least positive integer  $P_2 > 2$  such that  $\frac{\log P_2}{\log(a_{P_1+P_2})} \geq t$ . So for each  $n$  with  $2 \leq n < P_2$  we have  $\frac{\log n}{\log(a_{P_1+n})} < t$ . Again choose  $P_3 >$

$\max\{P_1, P_2\}$  so that  $\frac{\log(P_2+1)}{\log(a_{P_2+P_3+1})} < t$ . Result (1) implies that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log(a_{P_3+k})} > t.$$

Then there exists a least positive integer  $P_4 > P_3$  such that  $\frac{\log P_4}{\log(a_{P_3+P_4})} \geq t$ . Then for  $P_2 < n < P_4$  we have  $\frac{\log n}{\log(a_{P_3+n})} < t$ . Proceeding this way we construct a sequence  $\{P_n\}$  of natural numbers such that

$$\frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} \geq t, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\frac{\log n}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+n})} < t,$$

for  $P_{2i-2} < n < P_{2i}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, 4, \dots$  and  $P_{2i+1} > \max\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{2i}\}$ . Now for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} - \frac{\log(P_{2i}-1)}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}-1})} \\ &\leq \frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} - \frac{\log(P_{2i}-1)}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} \\ &= \frac{\log \frac{P_{2i}}{P_{2i}-1}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly,

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(P_{2i}-1)}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}-1})} = t.$$

So if we choose  $x = \{a_{k_n}\}$  where,

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= P_1, \quad k_2 = P_1 + 2, \quad k_3 = P_1 + 3, \quad \dots, \quad k_{P_2-1} = P_1 + P_2 - 1, \\ k_{P_2} &= P_1 + P_2, \quad k_{P_2+1} = P_2 + P_3 + 1, \quad \dots, \quad k_{P_4-1} = P_3 + P_4 - 1, \\ &\dots \\ k_{P_{2i}} &= P_{2i-1} + P_{2i}, \quad k_{P_{2i}+1} = P_{2i} + P_{2i+1} + 1, \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log(a_{k_n})} = t, \quad \text{i.e., } \lambda(x) = t.$$

We now show that  $\lambda$  is not one to one.

Let  $a \in [0, \lambda(A)]$ . Then there exists  $x = \{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$  such that  $\lambda(x) = a$ , i.e.,

$$a = \inf\{\sigma > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}^{-\sigma} < +\infty\}.$$

Let  $y_k = a_{n_{k+1}}$ , for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , then  $y = \{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$ . Clearly

$$\inf\{\sigma > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k^{-\sigma} < +\infty\} = a, \text{ i.e., } \lambda(y) = a.$$

So  $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$  when  $x \neq y$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  is not one to one. ■

We are interested about the measurability of the function  $\lambda$ . For this purpose here we shall study some properties in terms of Borel classification and Baire category of the level sets of  $\lambda$  defined as follows:

$$K_t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) \leq t\}; \quad K^t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) > t\},$$

for  $t \in \mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The set  $K_t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) \leq t\}$*

- (i) *belongs to the second multiplicative Borel class for each  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ .*
- (ii) *is dense in  $\mathbf{S}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \lambda(A)$ .*
- (iii) *is of first category for  $t < \lambda(A)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $t < 0$ , then  $K_t = \phi$  and  $K_t$  belongs to the second multiplicative Borel class. Let  $t \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_t &= \{x = \{x_k\} = \{a_{n_k}\} \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) \leq t\} \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{x \in \mathbf{S} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} < +\infty\right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} S(m, i, p, r), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(m, i, p, r) = \left\{x \in \mathbf{S} : \sum_{k=i}^{i+p} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} \leq \frac{1}{r}\right\}.$$

Let  $x^{(r)} = \{x_k^{(r)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in S(m, i, p, r)$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} x^{(r)} = x$ . It is clear that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \{x_k^{(r)}\}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} = x_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})}$$

for each  $k = i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i + p$  whence  $x \in S(m, i, p, r)$ . Consequently each set  $S(m, i, p, r)$  is closed. This proves that  $K_t$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  set. Hence the set  $K_t$  belongs to the second multiplicative Borel class.

(ii) We show that  $K_t$  is dense in  $\mathbf{S}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \lambda(A)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_t &= \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \bigcap_{p=1}^\infty \bigcap_{r=1}^\infty S(m, i, p, r) \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty F(m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(m) = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{S} : \exists_{i=1}^\infty \forall_{p=1}^\infty \forall_{r=1}^\infty \sum_{k=i}^{i+p} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} \leq \frac{1}{r} \right\}.$$

Let  $y = \{a_{p_k}\} \in \mathbf{S}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider the open ball  $S(y, \varepsilon)$  with centre at  $y$  and  $\varepsilon$  as the radius. Let  $l$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\sum_{i=l+1}^\infty 1/2^i < \varepsilon$ . For  $t \geq 0$  let  $s_1$  be the least positive integer such that  $2^{\frac{s_1}{t+1}} > a_{p_l}$ . Now choose the least positive integer  $q_1$  such that  $a_{q_1} > 2^{\frac{s_1}{t+1}}$ ,  $a_{q_1} \in A$ . Again let  $s_2$  be the least positive integer such that  $2^{\frac{s_2}{t+1}} > a_{q_1}$  and further we can choose the least positive integer  $q_2$  with  $a_{q_2} > 2^{\frac{s_2}{t+1}}$ ,  $a_{q_2} \in A$  and proceeding this way we have two subsequences  $\{s_k\}$  and  $\{q_k\}$  of natural numbers such that

$$a_{q_k} > 2^{\frac{s_k}{t+1}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Consider the sequence  $z = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  as follows:

$$z_i = a_{p_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l; \quad z_{l+k} = a_{q_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then it can be verified that  $d(y, z) < \varepsilon$  and hence  $z \in S(y, \varepsilon)$ . Again

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^l a_{p_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{-s_k(t+\frac{1}{m})}{t+1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^l a_{p_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^\alpha}\right)^{s_k}, \quad \alpha = \frac{t+\frac{1}{m}}{t+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^l a_{p_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^\alpha}\right)^k \\ &< \infty, \text{ since } 0 < \alpha \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $z \in F(m)$  and then  $z \in F(m) \cap S(y, \varepsilon)$ . Therefore,  $F(m)$  is dense in  $\mathbf{S}$  and consequently  $K_t$  is dense in  $\mathbf{S}$ .

(iii) If  $t < \lambda(A)$  we show that the set  $K_t$  is of first category.

Case 1: If  $t < 0$ , then  $K_t = \phi$  and so  $K_t$  is of first category.

Case 2: Let  $0 \leq t < \lambda(A)$ . Since  $t < \lambda(A)$ , then there exists a natural number  $m_0$  such that  $t + \frac{1}{m} < \lambda(A)$  for all  $m \geq m_0$ . So we have

$$K_t = \bigcup_{m=m_0}^{\infty} F(m, r),$$

where

$$F(m, r) = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{S} : \exists_{i=1}^{\infty} \forall_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=i}^{i+p} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} \leq \frac{1}{r} \right\}.$$

In order to show that  $F(m, r)$  is of first category in  $\mathbf{S}$ , it is sufficient to show that  $F(m, r)$  is an  $F_\sigma$  set and its complement is dense in  $\mathbf{S}$ . Let  $y = \{a_{p_k}\} \in \mathbf{S}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider the open ball  $S(y, \varepsilon)$  with centre at  $y$  and  $\varepsilon$  as the the radius. Let  $l$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} 1/2^i < \varepsilon$ . Now we choose the smallest positive integer  $s$  so that  $a_s > a_{p_l}$ . Define a sequence  $u = \{u_k\}$  in  $\mathbf{S}$  as follows:

$$u_i = a_{p_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l; \quad u_{l+k} = a_{s+k}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It is clear that  $u \in S(y, \varepsilon)$  and for every positive integer  $i$  there exist integer  $p$  such that

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{i+p} u_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} > \frac{1}{r},$$

since the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})}$$

is divergent for  $(t + \frac{1}{m}) < \lambda(A)$ . Thus the complement of  $F(m, r)$  is dense in  $\mathbf{S}$ . Also each set  $S(m, i, p, r)$  is closed and hence

$$F(m, r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} S(m, i, p, r)$$

is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set. Since every set  $F(m, r)$  is of first category hence

$$K_t = \bigcup_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} F(m, r)$$

is of first category in  $\mathbf{S}$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *For each  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  the set  $K^t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) > t\}$  belongs to the second additive Borel class.*

*Proof.* It follows from the fact that  $K^t = \mathbf{S} - K_t$  is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  set for each  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *The function  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measurable on  $\mathbf{S}$*

*Proof.* Here  $\mathbf{S}$  is a subset of  $[a_1, \infty)^{\mathbf{N}}$ . Using Fubini's theorem we have from theorem 2.2 that  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measurable. ■

**THEOREM 2.5.** *The function  $\lambda$  is discontinuous everywhere in  $\mathbf{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b \in \mathbf{S}$  and  $b = \{a_{p_1}, a_{p_2}, a_{p_3}, \dots\}$ . We can choose a sequence  $c = \{a_{q_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$  such that  $\lambda(b) \neq \lambda(c)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$ . It is sufficient to show that there exists a point  $z$  in the open ball  $S(b, \delta)$  such that  $\lambda(z) = \lambda(c)$ . For  $\delta > 0$  let  $l$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} 1/2^i < \delta$ . Now we consider the sequence  $z = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$  as follows:

$$z_k = \begin{cases} a_{p_k} & \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l \\ a_{q_k} & \text{for } k > l. \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $z \in S(b, \delta)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda(z) &= \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \left( \sum_{i=1}^l a_{p_i}^{-\sigma} + \sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} \right) < \infty \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^l a_{p_i}^{-\sigma} - \sum_{i=1}^l a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} \right) < \infty \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} < \infty \right\}; \text{ (since the sum in the first bracket is finite)} \\
 &= \lambda(c).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lambda$  is discontinuous everywhere in  $\mathbf{S}$ . ■

COROLLARY 2.6. *The function  $\lambda$  is not a Darboux function.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. KOSTYRKO, T. SALAT, On the exponent of convergence, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser II* **31**, (1982), 187–194.
- [2] G. POLYA, G. SZEGO “Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - New York, 1964.
- [3] R. SIKORSKI, “Funkcje Rzeczywiste Vol I”, PWN, Warszawa, 1958.