On Dilation of Local Semigroups of Contractions and some Applications
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Abstract: We show that a multiplicative family of contractions on a separable Hilbert space, with parameter on the interval $[0, 1)$ of the dyadic rationals, has a unitary dilation with parameter on the dyadic rationals and values on a larger Hilbert space. This result is used to prove a dilation result for strongly continuous local semigroups of contractions. As an application we give results of extension of positive definite functions on the line, generalizing the Krein extension theorem.
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1. Basic notions and definitions

Results about dilation and extension of multiplicative families of contractions and local semigroups of contractions are a useful tool in some interpolation and dilation problems, see for example [1, 2, 3, 8]. Multiplicative families of operators, where the domain of the operators depends on the parameter, are considered in the papers [1, 2]. The techniques for obtaining the dilations and extensions are based on specials extensions of operators. In the papers [3, 8] the domain of the operators does not depend on the parameter and discretization techniques are used.

In this paper we use discretization techniques to extend, for a separable Hilbert space, some of the dilation results obtained in [1] and we give, as an application, some results about extension of positive definite functions.

*All authors were supported in part by the CDCH of the Univ. Central de Venezuela.
Let $(\Gamma, +)$ be an additive subgroup of the real numbers $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$ or $a = +\infty$ and let $I_\Gamma = [0, a] \cap \Gamma$.

**Definition 1.** Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mathcal{H})$ be a Hilbert space. A *multiplicative family of contractions* on $\mathcal{H}$ with parameter on $I_\Gamma$ is a family $(T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in I_\Gamma}$ such that:

(i) $\mathcal{H}(s)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$, $T(s) : \mathcal{H}(s) \to \mathcal{H}$ is a contraction operator, $\mathcal{H}(r) \subset \mathcal{H}(s)$ for $r, s \in I_\Gamma$, $s < r$ and $\mathcal{H}(0) = \mathcal{H}$, $T(0) = I_\mathcal{H}$.
(ii) If $r, s \in I_\Gamma$ are such that $r + s < a$ then $T(s)\mathcal{H}(r + s) \subset \mathcal{H}(r)$ and $T(r + s)h = T(r)T(s)h$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}(r + s)$.

The multiplicative family is *strongly continuous* if for $r \in I_\Gamma$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(r)$ the function $s \mapsto T(s)h$ is continuous on $[0, r] \cap I_\Gamma$.

If the operators $T(s)$ are isometries we will say that $(T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in I_\Gamma}$ is a multiplicative family of isometries.

The following is an extension of a definition given in [1].

**Definition 2.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. A *local semigroup of contractions* on $\mathcal{H}$ with parameter on $I_\Gamma$ a multiplicative family of contractions $(T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in I_\Gamma}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{r \in (x, a) \cap I_\Gamma} \mathcal{H}(r)$$

is dense in $\mathcal{H}(x)$ for all $x \in I_\Gamma$.

If the operators $T(s)$ are isometries we will say that $(T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in I_\Gamma}$ is a local semigroup of isometries.

2. **Multiplicative families of contractions with parameter on the diadic rational numbers**

Let $\Delta$ be the set of the diadic rational numbers, that is

$$\Delta = \left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} : k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$ 

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\Delta_m = \left\{ \frac{k}{2^m} : k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$$\Delta^+ = \{ s \in \Delta : s \geq 0 \} \text{ and } \Delta_m^+ = \{ s \in \Delta_m : s \geq 0 \}.$$
Throughout this section $\mathcal{H}$ will be a separable Hilbert space, $I_{\Delta} = [0, 1) \cap \Delta$ and $(T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in I_{\Delta}}$ will denote a multiplicative family of contractions with parameter on $I_{\Delta}$.

For $s \in I_{\Delta}$ let $V(s) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be the contraction operator defined by

$$V(s) = T(s)P_{\mathcal{H}(s)}^s,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{H}(s)}^s$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}(s)$.

**Lemma 3.** If $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k < 2^m$ then

$$\left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^k \right)_{\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{k}{2^m} \right)} = T\left( \frac{k}{2^m} \right).$$

**Proof.** We will use induction.

For $k = 2$.

Let $h \in \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{2}{2^m} \right)$. Then $h \in \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)$, so $P_{\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)}^s h = h$. Since $\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{2}{2^m} \right) = \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} + \frac{1}{2^m} \right)$ we have that $T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) h \in \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)$, thus

$$P_{\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)}^s T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) h = T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) h.$$

Therefore

$$\left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^2 \right)_h = T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) P_{\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)}^s \left( T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) P_{\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)}^s \right) h$$

$$= T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) h$$

$$= T\left( \frac{2}{2^m} \right) h.$$

Suppose that $\left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^k \right)_{\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{k}{2^m} \right)} = T\left( \frac{k}{2^m} \right)$ and that $k + 1 < 2^m$. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{k+1}{2^m} \right)$, then $h \in \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)$ and $V\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) h = T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) h$, so

$$\left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^{k+1} \right)_h = \left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^k \right)_h V\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)_h = \left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^k \right)_h T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)_h,$$

since $\mathcal{H}\left( \frac{k+1}{2^m} \right) = \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{1}{2^m} + \frac{k}{2^m} \right)$, we have that $T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right) h \in \mathcal{H}\left( \frac{k}{2^m} \right)$. From the induction hypothesis

$$\left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^k \right)_h T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)_h = T\left( \frac{k}{2^m} \right)_h T\left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)_h = T\left( \frac{k+1}{2^m} \right)_h$$

thus

$$\left( \left( \frac{1}{2^m} \right)^{k+1} \right)_h = T\left( \frac{k+1}{2^m} \right)_h.$$
For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $V^{(m)} = (V^{(m)}(s))_{s \in \Delta_m^+}$ by

$$V^{(m)}\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right) = \left(V^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{2^m}\right)\right)^k.$$ 

We have that $(V^{(m)}(s))_{s \in \Delta_m^+}$ is a semigroup of contractions.

**Proposition 4.** The function $F^{(m)} : \Delta_m \to L(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$F^{(m)}(s) = \begin{cases} V^{(m)}(s) & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ (V^{(m)}(-s))^* & \text{if } s < 0, \end{cases}$$

is positive definite.

**Proof.** Considering the natural isomorphism between the group $\Delta_m$ and the integers group $\mathbb{Z}$ the result is obtained from the Neumark dilation theorem [7], see also Theorem 7.1 of Chapter 1 of [10]. \(\blacksquare\)

The following result follows from a natural diagonalization procedure.

**Proposition 5.** Let $\Upsilon$ be a topological space and let $\Lambda$ be a numerable indices set. Let $\{X_\alpha(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty, \alpha \in \Lambda$ be a family of sequences in $\Upsilon$ such that for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ every subsequence of $\{X_\alpha(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence. Then there exists an increasing sequence $\{b(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $\{X_\alpha(b(n))\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

**Lemma 6.** There exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ the sequence

$$\left\{V^{(n_j)}\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right) h\right\}_{j=1}^\infty$$

converges in the weak topology.

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{H}$ is separable, there is a numerable set $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}$ dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

Since the operators $V^{(n)}\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right)$ are contractions, we have that for each $i, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\{V^{(n)}\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right) h_i\}_{n \geq m} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is bounded, so it contains a weakly convergent subsequence.

Applying Proposition 5 with the set $\Lambda = \{(i, k, m) : i, k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}, \Upsilon = \mathcal{H}$ with the weak topology and family

$$\left\{X_\alpha(n) = V^{(n)}\left(\frac{k}{2^m}\right) h_i; \alpha = (i, k, m) \in \Lambda\right\}_{n \geq m}$$
we obtain that there is an increasing sequence of natural numbers \( \{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \) such that
\[
\left\{ V^{(n_j)} \left( \frac{k}{2^n} \right) h \right\}_{j=1}^\infty
\]
converges weakly for all \( i, k, m \in \mathbb{N} \).

We also have that \( \left\{ V^{(n_j)} \left( \frac{k}{2^n} \right) \right\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset L(\mathcal{H}) \) is uniformly bounded and that \( \{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \), so for all \( k, m \in \mathbb{N} \), the sequence
\[
\left\{ V^{(n_j)} \left( \frac{k}{2^n} \right) h \right\}_{j=1}^\infty
\]
converges weakly for all \( h \in \mathcal{H} \).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a separable Hilbert space and let \((T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1)} \) be a multiplicative family of contractions on \( \mathcal{H} \), then there exists a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{G} \) containing \( \mathcal{H} \) as a closed subspace and a group of unitary operators \((W(s))_{s \in \Delta} \subset L(\mathcal{G})\) such that
\[
T(s) = P^\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{G} W(s)|_{\mathcal{H}(s)}, \quad \text{for all } s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1).
\]

**Proof.** For \( s \in \Delta^+ \) and \( h \in \mathcal{H} \) we define \( V^{(o)}(s)h \) as the weak limit of the sequence \( \left\{ V^{(n_j)}(s)h \right\}_{j=1}^\infty \) given in Lemma 6, so we have that \( V^{(o)}(s) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \) is a linear contraction for all \( s \in \Delta^+ \) and
\[
\left\langle V^{(o)}(s)h, g \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\langle V^{(n_j)}(s)h, g \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (2.1)
\]
for all \( s \in \Delta^+ \) and \( h, g \in \mathcal{H} \).

Let \( F : \Delta \to L(\mathcal{H}) \) defined by
\[
F(s) = \begin{cases} 
V^{(o)}(s) & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\
V^{(o)}(-s)^* & \text{if } s < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

From (2.1) we obtain that
\[
\left\langle F(s)h, g \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\langle F^{(n_j)}(s)h, g \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
\]
for all \( s \in \Delta \) and \( h, g \in \mathcal{H} \), where \( F^{(n_j)} \) is the function defined in the Proposition 4, which is positive definite. Since the weak limit of positive definite functions is positive definite, we have that \( F \) is positive definite.
From the Neumark dilation theorem it follows that there exists a Hilbert space \( G \) containing \( H \) as a closed subspace and a unitary group \( (W(s))_{s \in \Delta} \subset L(G) \) such that
\[
V^{(o)}(s) = P_H^G W(s) |_H
\]
for all \( s \in \Delta^+ \).

From Lemma 3 it follows that
\[
V^{(o)}(s)|_{H(s)} = T(s)
\]
for all \( s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1) \).

Finally, we obtain that
\[
T(s) = P_H^G W(s)|_{H(s)}
\]
for all \( s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1) \).

**Remark 8.** This is a new result. It is important to note that it was not necessary to assume the strong continuity of the multiplicative family of contractions.

**Remark 9.** Note that if, in Th. 7, we suppose that \( (T(s), H(s))_{s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1)} \) is a multiplicative family of isometries on \( H \), then we obtain that
\[
T(s) = W(s)|_{H(s)}, \text{ for all } s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1),
\]
where \( (W(s))_{s \in \Delta} \subset L(G) \) is a group of unitary operators.

3. Unitary dilation of strongly continuous local semigroups of contractions

Let \( (\Gamma, +) \) be an additive subgroup of the real numbers such that \( \Delta \subset \Gamma \). The following result is an extension, for the case of a separable Hilbert space, of Theorem 1 of [1].

**Theorem 10.** Let \( H \) be a separable Hilbert space and let \( (T(s), H(s))_{s \in [0,1) \cap \Gamma} \) be a strongly continuous local semigroup of contractions on \( H \), then there exists a Hilbert space \( F \) containing \( H \) as a closed subspace and a strongly continuous group of unitary operators \( (U(s))_{s \in \mathbb{R} \subset L(F)} \) such that
\[
T(s) = P_H^F U(s)|_{H(s)}, \text{ for all } s \in [0,1) \cap \Gamma.
\]
For the proof of the theorem we will need the following result.

**Lemma 11.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert space and let $(V(s))_{s \in \Delta} \subset L(\mathcal{F})$ be a strongly continuous group of unitary operators. Then there exists a strongly continuous group unitary operators $(U(s))_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \subset L(\mathcal{F})$ which extends $(V(s))_{s \in \Delta}$.

**Proof.** We have that, for each $h \in \mathcal{F}$ the application $s \mapsto V(s)h$ is uniformly continuous on $\Delta$.

Since $\Delta$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, for each $h \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a unique continuous map $s \mapsto U(s)h$ from $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that if $t \in \Delta$ then

$$U(s)h = V(s)h \quad \text{for each } h \in \mathcal{F}.$$

If $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{s_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \Delta$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = s$ it holds that

$$U(s)h = \lim_{n \to \infty} V(s_n)h \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Finally, it is easy to check that $(U(s))_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a strongly continuous group unitary operators.

**Proof of Theorem 10.** From Theorem 7 it follows that there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ as a closed subspace and a group of unitary operators $(W(s))_{s \in \Delta} \subset L(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$T(s) = P_{\mathcal{H}}^G W(s)|_{\mathcal{H}(s)} \quad \text{for all } s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the closed subspace of $\mathcal{G}$ generated by $\{W(s)h : s \in \Delta, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ and let $V(s)$ be the restriction of $W(s)$ to $\mathcal{F}$, then $(V(s))_{s \in \Delta} \subset L(\mathcal{F})$ is a unitary group such that

$$T(s) = P_{\mathcal{H}}^F V(s)|_{\mathcal{H}(s)} \quad \text{(3.1)}$$

for all $s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1)$.

Now we will prove that $(V(s))_{s \in \Delta}$ is strongly continuous at 0 and therefore strongly continuous on $\Delta$.

Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H} \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \right)$ is dense on $\mathcal{H}$ we have that the set

$$\left\{ V(s)h : s \in \Delta, h \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H} \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right\}$$

is dense on $\mathcal{F}$.
Therefore it is enough to show that

\[
\lim_{s \to 0} \|V(s)h - h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad \text{for all } h \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}(\frac{1}{2^n}).
\]

Let \( h \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}(\frac{1}{2^n}) \), then there exists a natural number \( n_0 \) such that \( h \in \mathcal{H}(\frac{1}{2^{n_0}}) \).

Let \( s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0, 1) \) such that \( s < \frac{1}{2^{n_0}} \), then \( h \in \mathcal{H}(s) \) and we have that

\[
\|V(s)h - h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \langle V(s)h, V(s)h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - 2Re (\langle V(s)h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}) + \langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
\]

\[
= 2\langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - 2Re (\langle P_H^*V(s)h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})
\]

\[
= 2\langle h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - 2Re (\langle T(s)h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}).
\]

So the result follows from the strong continuity of \((T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in [0,1) \cap \Gamma}\).

From Lemma 11 it follows that there exists a strongly continuous group of unitary operators \((U(s))_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \subset L(F)\) such that

\[
U(s) = V(s)
\]

for all \( s \in \Delta \).

Let us show that \( T(s) = P_H^*U(s)|_{\mathcal{H}(s)} \) for all \( s \in [0,1) \cap \Gamma \).

Let \( s \in [0,1) \cap \Gamma \) and \( h \in \mathcal{H}(s) \). Since \( \Delta \) is dense on \( \mathbb{R} \) there exists an increasing sequence \( \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1) \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = s \). We have that \( \mathcal{H}(s) \subset \mathcal{H}(s_n) \) for all \( n \).

From the strong continuity of the local semigroup and the group \((U(s))\) we have

\[
T(s)h = \lim_{n \to \infty} T(s_n)h = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_H^*V(s_n)h
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} P_H^*U(s_n)h
\]

\[
= P_H^*U(s)h.
\]

\[
\text{Remark 12.} \quad \text{Note that if } (T(s), \mathcal{H}(s))_{s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1)} \text{ is a local semigroup of isometries on } \mathcal{H}, \text{ then}
\]

\[
T(s) = U(s)|_{\mathcal{H}(s)}, \text{ for all } s \in \Delta^+ \cap [0,1).
\]

\[
\text{Remark 13.} \quad \text{If } a \Delta \subset \Gamma, \text{ with a natural change of variables this theorem can be extended for an interval of the form } [0, a) \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a > 0, \text{ instead of } [0, 1).
\]
4. EXTENSION OF POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS

As in Section 1, let \((\Gamma, +)\) be an additive subgroup of the real numbers \((\mathbb{R}, +)\).

Let \(a \in \mathbb{R}\) such that \(a > 0\) and let \((\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}})\) be a Hilbert space, recall that a function \(f : (-a, a) \cap \Gamma \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E})\) is said to be \emph{positive definite} if

\[
\sum_{x, y \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma} \langle f(x - y)h(x), h(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \geq 0
\]

for all functions \(h : [0, a) \cap \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\) of finite support. We will suppose that \(f(0) = I_{\mathcal{E}}\), the identity operator.

M. G. Krein [6] proved that a continuous scalar valued positive definite function, on an interval of the real line, can be extended to a continuous positive definite function on the whole line. This result was extended for strongly continuous operator valued functions by M. L. Gorbachuck [4].

A multiplicative family of isometric operators can be associated, in a natural way, to a positive definite function, see for example [1, 2, 5, 9] for more details. This correspondence is established as follows.

Let

\[
\mathcal{D} = \{ h : [0, a) \cap \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \mid \text{support of } h \text{ is finite} \}
\]

Then \(\mathcal{D}\) is a linear space, for \(h, h' \in \mathcal{D}\) we define

\[
\langle h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \sum_{x, y \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma} \langle f(x - y)h(x), h'(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}},
\]

then \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}\) is a, possibly degenerate, positive sesquilinear form on \(\mathcal{D}\). Let \(\mathcal{H}\) be the completion of \(\mathcal{D}\), after the natural quotient.

For \(r \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma\) we define

\[
\mathcal{D}(r) = \{ h \in \mathcal{D} \mid \text{support}(h) \subset [0, a - r) \cap \Gamma \}
\]

and \(S(r) : \mathcal{D}(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\) by

\[
S(r)h(x) = \begin{cases} 
  h(x - r) & \text{if } x \in [r, a), \\
  0 & \text{if } x \in [0, r).
\end{cases}
\]

It holds that \(S(r)\) is an isometric operator and, if \(\mathcal{H}(r)\) is the closure of \(\mathcal{D}(r)\) in \(\mathcal{H}\), then \(S(r)\) can be extended to an isometric operator from \(\mathcal{H}(r)\) to \(\mathcal{H}\), denoting this extension by \(T(r)\), we have that \((T(r), \mathcal{H}(r))_{r \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma}\) is a
multiplicative family of contractions. It is easy to check that, if \( f \) is strongly continuous, then \((T(r), \mathcal{H}(r))_{r \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma}\) is a strongly continuous local semigroup of isometries.

Since \( f(0) = I_{\mathcal{E}} \) there exists a natural immersion of \( \mathcal{E} \) into \( \mathcal{H} \) and it holds that
\[
\langle f(x)h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle T(x)h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
\]
for \( h, h' \in \mathcal{E} \) and \( x \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma \). If the multiplicative family of isometries \((T(r), \mathcal{H}(r))_{r \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma}\) could be extended to an unitary group \((U(r))_{r \in \mathbb{R}}\) on a larger Hilbert space \( \mathcal{F} \) we would have
\[
f(x) = P_{\mathcal{F}}^\mathcal{E} U(x)|_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \text{for } x \in (-a, a) \cap \Gamma.
\]

So the extension to an unitary group of the family \((T(r), \mathcal{H}(r))_{r \in [0, a) \cap \Gamma}\) is a sufficient condition for the extension of \( f \) to a positive definite function in the whole line. If the space \( \mathcal{E} \) is separable, then the corresponding space \( \mathcal{H} \) is also separable. Therefore from Theorems 7 and 10 we obtain the following two results.

**Theorem 14.** Let \((\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}})\) be a separable Hilbert space and let \( f : \Delta \cap (-1, 1) \to \mathcal{E} \) be a positive definite function such that \( f(0) = I_{\mathcal{E}} \), then \( f \) can be extended to a positive definite function \( F : \Delta \to \mathcal{E} \).

**Theorem 15.** Suppose that \( a \in [0, +\infty) \) an that \( a \Delta \subset \Gamma \). Let \((\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}})\) be a separable Hilbert space and let \( f : \Gamma \cap (-a, a) \to \mathcal{E} \) be a strongly continuous positive definite function such that \( f(0) = I_{\mathcal{E}} \), then \( f \) can be extended to a strongly continuous positive definite function \( F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{E} \).

**Remark 16.** Note that it was not necessary to assume the strong continuity of the function in Theorem 14.
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