## About a Characterization of Dodds-Fremlin Regarding Positive Compact Operators

Belmesnaoui Aqzzouz, Aziz Elbour

Université Mohammed V-Souissi, Faculté des Sciences Economiques, Juridiques et Sociales, Département d'Economie, B.P. 5295, SalaAljadida, Morocco, baqzzouz@hotmail.com

Université Ibn Tofail, Faculté des Sciences, Département de Mathématiques, B.P. 133, Kénitra, Morocco, azizelbour@hotmail.com

Presented by William B. Johnson

Received September 06, 2010

*Abstract*: We give sufficient and necessary conditions, different from that of Dodds-Fremlin, which characterize compact operators between Banach lattices, relying on semi-compact and AM-compact operators.

*Key words*: compact operator, AM-compact operator, semi-compact operator, order continuous norm, discrete Banach lattice.

AMS Subject Class. (2010): 46A40, 46B40, 46B42

This note extends some of the results in [2]. Throughout this note, E and F will be Banach lattices and X will be a Banach space. We refer the reader to [1, 3] for definitions and notations related to Banach lattices. Recall that an operator  $T: E \to X$  is AM-compact if it maps order intervals into relatively compact sets; an operator  $T: X \to F$  is semi-compact if  $T(B_X)$  is almost order bounded, i.e., for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $u \in F^+$  such that  $T(B_X) \subset [-u, u] + \varepsilon B_F$ . It is clear that the both properties are weaker than compactness.

Remark 1. Suppose that F is order continuous and  $0 \leq T : E \to F$ . It is easy to see that if T is semi-compact and T' is AM-compact then T is compact. Indeed, T is L-weakly compact by [3, Proposition 3.6.2], so that T'is M-weakly compact by [3, Proposition 3.6.11], hence T' (and, therefore, T) is compact by [3, Proposition 3.7.4] (see [2, Theorem 1(i)] for another proof).

THEOREM 2. If every positive operator  $T : E \to F$  is compact whenever it is semi-compact and T' is AM-compact then one of the following assertions holds:

1

1. F is order continuous, or

2. E' is order continuous and discrete.

*Proof.* Suppose that F is not order continuous. It suffices to show that if E' is not order continuous or not discrete then there exists a positive non-compact operator  $T: E \to F$  such that T is semi-compact and T' is AM-compact.

Suppose that E' is not order continuous. By [3, Theorem 2.4.14], E contains a lattice copy of  $\ell_1$ , i.e., there is a closed sublattice  $Y \subseteq E$  and a surjective lattice isomorphism  $V: Y \to \ell_1$ . Put  $u_n = V^{-1}(e_n)$ . By [3, Proposition 2.3.11], there exists a positive projection  $P: E \to E$  with Range P = Y. Let  $j: \ell_1 \to c_0$  be the normal inclusion. [3, Theorem 2.4.2] guarantees that there exist  $y \in F^+$  and a disjoint normalized sequence  $(y_n)$  in [0, y]. Define  $S: c_0 \to F$  via  $S(e_n) = y_n$ . Since for every  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i \in c_0$  we have

$$\left| S\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} e_{i}\right) \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_{i}| y_{i} \le (\max_{i=1,\dots,n} |\alpha_{i}|) y \le \left\|x\right\|_{\infty} y$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ , it follows that  $||S(x)|| \le ||y|| ||x||_{\infty}$ , so that S is indeed a bounded operator from  $c_0$  to F.

Put T = SjVP. Then T is not compact as  $T(u_n) = y_n$  which has no convergent subsequences. To see that T is semi-compact, observe that jVPmaps  $B_E$  into  $M \cdot B_{c_0}$  where M = ||jVP||, so that for each  $x \in B_E$  we have  $jVP(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i$  with  $|\alpha_i| \leq M$  for all i. It follows that

$$|T(x)| = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i y_i\right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| y_i \le My.$$

Then  $T(B_E) \subseteq M[-y, y]$  and so T is semi-compact. Finally, T' is AM-compact because  $S': F' \to \ell_1$  is positive and order intervals in  $\ell_1$  are compact. This proves that E' is order continuous.

We will now show that E' is discrete. Suppose not. Then it follows from [4, Theorem 1] that there exist two operators  $0 \le S \le T : E \to F$  such that T is compact while S is not. However, S is semi-compact by [1, Theorem 5.72(b)] and S' is AM-compact by [3, Proposition 3.7.2].

EXAMPLE 3. The converse is false. Indeed, let  $T : \ell_2 \to \ell_{\infty}$  be the natural embedding. Note that  $\ell'_2 = \ell_2$  is discrete and order continuous; T is semicompact and T' is AM-compact, but, nevertheless, T is not compact.

THEOREM 4. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. Every positive operator  $T : E \to F$  is compact whenever it is AMcompact and T' is semi-compact;

## 2. F is finite-dimensional or E' is order continuous.

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that E' is not order continuous and dim  $F = \infty$ . Let P, V, and  $(u_n)$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2. Since dim  $F = \infty$ , it follows from  $B_F \subseteq (B_F)^+ - (B_F)^+$  that  $(B_F)^+$  is not compact; fix a sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $(B_F)^+$  with no convergent subsequences. Define  $S : \ell_1 \to F$  via  $S(e_n) = y_n$ ; S is bounded because  $||S(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i)|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|$ . Put T = SVP. Since order intervals in  $\ell_1$  are compact, the operator VP is AM-compact, so that T is AM-compact. Being an operator on  $\ell_{\infty}$ , (VP)' is semi-compact, so that T' is semi-compact. However,  $T(u_n) = y_n$ , so that T is not compact.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  If F is finite-dimensional, (1) holds trivially, while if E' is order continuous then the proof is analogous to Remark 1.

Remark 5. A quick glance at the proof reveals that we can replace a Banach lattice F with a Banach space X in Theorem 4 as long as we remove the word "positive" from (1).

## References

- C.D. ALIPRANTIS, O. BURKINSHAW, "Positive Operators" (Reprint of the 1985 original), Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [2] B. AQZZOUZ, A. ELBOUR, Some characterizations of compact operators on Banach lattices, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo* 57 (2008), 423-431.
- [3] P. MEYER-NIEBERG, "Banach Lattices", Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [4] A.W. WICKSTEAD, Converses for the Dodds-Fremlin and Kalton-Saab theorems, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 120 (1996), 175–179.