A Note on the Class of Superreflexive Almost Transitive Banach Spaces

JARNO TALPONEN

University of Helsinki, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Box 68, (Gustaf Hällströminkatu 2b) FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland talponen@cc.helsinki.fi

Presented by Jesús M.F. Castillo

Received September 27, 2007

Abstract: The class \mathcal{J} of simultaneously almost transitive, uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces is characterized in terms of convex-transitivity and the weak geometry of the norm.

Key words: almost transitive, convex-transitive, rough norm, superreflexive, weakly exposed

AMS Subject Class. (2000): 46B04, 46B20.

Introduction

This note investigates the interplay between the geometry of the norm and isometric symmetries of Banach spaces. We denote the closed unit ball of a real Banach space X by \mathbf{B}_{X} and the unit sphere of X by \mathbf{S}_{X} . A Banach space X is called transitive if for each $x \in \mathbf{S}_X$ the corresponding orbit $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \stackrel{\cdot}{=} \{T(x) \mid T \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \text{ is an isometric automorphism} \}$ coincides with \mathbf{S}_{X} . If $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{X}}(x) = \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{X}}$ (respectively $\overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{X}}(x)) = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{X}}$) for all $x \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{X}}$, then X is called almost transitive (respectively convex-transitive). We refer to [3] for an extensive survey of these and other related concepts.

We will study the class of simultaneously almost transitive, uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces. This class has been studied previously by Finet [8], Cabello [5], Becerra and Rodriguez [1] and we denote it by \mathcal{J} following [3]. An example of such a space is $L^p(0,1)$ for 1 .

We will provide a criterion for a convex-transitive Banach space X to belong to \mathcal{J} . Based on [8, 5, 1] Becerra and Rodriguez summarized in their survey [3] some connections between transitivity conditions and the geometry of the norm. Especially [3, Theorem 6.8, Corollary 6.9] provide a long list of conditions on X equivalent to X being a member of \mathcal{J} . For example, a convextransitive space X belongs to \mathcal{J} provided that it is an Asplund space or has the

Radon-Nikodym Property. Observe that since $L^{\infty}(0,1)$ is convex-transitive (see [11] or [3]) and contains isometrically all separable Banach spaces, the convex-transitivity condition per se does not guarantee any good geometric behavior.

In order to characterize the convex-transitive spaces, which belong to \mathcal{J} , we impose the following two geometric conditions. Firstly, we require that there exist relatively weakly open subsets of \mathbf{S}_{X} of diameter less than 2. Secondly, we impose the condition that the ω -exposed points of \mathbf{B}_{X} are weakly dense in \mathbf{S}_{X} . Some different versions of the results in this paper are found in the preprints [14]. See [12] for important results regarding ω -exposed points.

Preliminaries

Throughout this article we will consider real Banach spaces denoted by X, Y and Z unless otherwise stated. For a general introduction to the geometry of the norm see the first chapter of [9]. Given $f \in X^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the corresponding open slice of $C \subset X$ is denoted by $S(C, f, \alpha) = \{x \in C \mid f(x) > \alpha\}$. Put $\mathbf{B}(x, \epsilon) = x + \epsilon \mathbf{B}_X$ for $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. The dual X^* of X is said to be convex ω^* -transitive if $\overline{\text{conv}}^{\omega^*}(\{T^*(f): T \in \mathcal{G}_X\}) = \mathbf{B}_{X^*}$ for $f \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$. For a Banach space X and $u \in \mathbf{S}_X$, we recall that the modulus of roughness at u is given by

$$\eta(X, u) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \left\{ \sup \left\{ \frac{\|u + h\| + \|u - h\| - 2}{\|h\|} : h \in X, \|h\| \le \delta \right\} \right\}.$$
 (1)

Note that $0 \le \eta(X, u) \le 2$. The norm is Fréchet differentiable at u if and only if $\eta(X, u) = 0$, see [6, Lemma I.1.3]. The space X is extremely rough if $\eta(X, u) = 2$ for $u \in \mathbf{S}_X$. We will also require the following results. Recall the geometric fact below, which can be found in [6, I.1.11].

LEMMA 1. Let X be a Banach space and $x^* \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$. Then

$$\eta(X^*, x^*) = \inf\{\text{diam}(S(B_X, x^*, \alpha)) : 0 < \alpha < 1\}.$$

The following characterization of the class \mathcal{J} is crucial in our arguments and it is included in [2, Theorem 1]: The space X is a member of \mathcal{J} if and only if X* is convex ω^* -transitive and the norm of X* is not extremely rough.

Recall the classical \check{S} mulyan lemma (see e.g. [7, Lemma 8.4]), which states that the below conditions (i)-(iii) are equivalent:

- (i) The norm $\|\cdot\|$ of a Banach space X is Gateaux differentiable at $x \in \mathbf{S}_X$.
- (ii) For all (f_n) , $(g_n) \subset \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) = 1$ it holds that $f_n g_n \xrightarrow{\omega^*} 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- (iii) There is unique $f \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$ such that f(x) = 1, i.e., x is a smooth point.

The ω -exposed points are a class of nicely rotund points. Recall that $x \in \mathbf{S}_X$ is said to be ω -exposed (resp. strongly exposed) if there is $f \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$, f(x) = 1, such that whenever $(x_n) \subset \mathbf{B}_X$ is a sequence satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = 1$ then $x = \omega - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ (resp. $x = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$). In such a case f above is called a ω -exposing functional (resp. strongly exposing functional) for x. Actually, a norm-attaining functional $f \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$ is a ω -exposing functional for a (unique) point $x \in \mathbf{S}_X$ if and only if f is a smooth point in X^* (see e.g. [13]).

RESULTS

The following Theorem 2. gives a criterion for convex-transitive Banach spaces to be members of the class \mathcal{J} . Recall that \mathcal{J} is the class of almost transitive Banach spaces, which are simultaneously uniformly convex and uniformly smooth.

THEOREM 2. Let X be a convex-transitive Banach space satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) The weakly exposed points are relatively weakly dense in S_X .
- (b) $\inf\{\operatorname{diam}(U) \mid U \subset \mathbf{S}_X \text{ relatively } \omega\text{-open}, \ U \neq \emptyset\} < 2.$

Then X belongs in \mathcal{J} .

Let us discuss the assumptions before giving the proof. The assumption (b) above can be considered as a weakening of the Point of Continuity Property (PCP), of the Radon-Nikodym property or of the Kadec-Klee property. Recall that a Banach space X is said to have the Point of Continuity Property if each non-empty subset $A \subset X$ contains a point x such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a non-empty relatively weakly open neighbourhood $U \subset A$ of x such that diam $(U) < \epsilon$. It should be mentioned that PCP in turn is a much weaker property than asymptotical uniform convexity (see [10] for a discussion) and that rotations have not been studied in connection with these two properties.

Regarding assumption (a), as pointed out in the Preliminaries, a point $x \in \mathbf{S}_X$ is ω -exposed if it is exposed by a smooth functional $f \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$. For

comparison, recall that the analogous fact holds for strongly exposed points and Fréchet smooth functionals. Moreover, the requirement of a point x being ω -exposed is much weaker than that of being strongly exposed. These considerations yield the following consequence of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 3. If a convex-transitive space X has the PCP and X^* is a Gateaux smooth space, then $X \in \mathcal{J}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. According to assumption (b) there exists a relatively weakly open set $U \subset \mathbf{S}_X$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(U) < 2$. Assumption (a) yields that there exists a weakly exposed point $z \in U$. If $f \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$ is a weakly exposing functional for z, then there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that $S(\mathbf{B}_X, f, \alpha) \cap \mathbf{S}_X \subset U$. Indeed, assume to the contrary that $S(\mathbf{B}_X, f, \alpha) \cap \mathbf{S}_X \setminus U \neq \emptyset$ for all $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then one can pick a sequence $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{S}_X$ not intersecting U such that $f(v_k) \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$. Since f is a weakly exposing functional for z, we get that $v_k \stackrel{\omega}{\longrightarrow} z$ as $k \to \infty$. This provides a contradiction since U is a ω -open neighbourhood of z.

Let $\delta \in (\alpha, 1)$ be such that diam $(U) + 2(1 - \delta) < 2$. Then we get that

$$\operatorname{diam}(S(\mathbf{B}_{X}, f, \delta)) \le \operatorname{diam}(S(\mathbf{B}_{X}, f, \delta) \cap \mathbf{S}_{X}) + 2(1 - \delta) < 2, \tag{2}$$

since $S(\mathbf{B}_X, f, \delta) \cap \mathbf{S}_X \subset U$. Hence we obtain by Lemma 1 that X^* is not extremely rough. Since X is convex-transitive, we obtain by a simple and well-known argument that X^* is convex ω^* -transitive. Hence X is a member of $\mathcal J$ according to [2, Theorem 1].

THEOREM 4. Let X be a convex-transitive Banach space and $x \in \mathbf{S}_X$. Suppose that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k \in (0,1)$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$ such that $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \cdots = f_k(x) = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{diam}(\{y \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{X}} \mid f_i(y) > 1 - \delta_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}) < \epsilon.$$

Then X belongs in \mathcal{J} .

Proof. We consider X embedded canonically in X**. Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $u^{**}, v^{**} \in \mathbf{S}_{X^{**}}$ such that $\frac{u^{**} + v^{**}}{2} = x$.

As in the assumptions, let (δ_i) and (f_i) be k-uples such that

$$V \stackrel{\cdot}{=} \{ y \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{X}} : f_i(y) > 1 - \delta_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le k \} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{X}}$$
 (3)

satisfies diam $(V) < \epsilon$. Define $W = \{y \in \mathbf{B}_{X^{**}}: f_i(y) > 1 - \delta_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le k\}$.

Recall that $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{X}}$ is ω^* -dense in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}$ according to Goldstine's theorem. Since W is relatively ω^* -open in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}$, we obtain that V is ω^* -dense in W. Observe that $\{y-z:y,z\in V\}$ is ω^* -dense in $\{u^{**}-v^{**}:u^{**},v^{**}\in W\}$. Thus, by applying the ω^* -lower semicontinuity of the norm of X^{**} we obtain that $\operatorname{diam}(W)=\operatorname{diam}(V)<\epsilon$.

By using that $f_1(x) = \cdots = f_k(x) = 1$, $||f_1|| = \cdots = ||f_k|| = 1$ and $x = \frac{u^{**} + v^{**}}{2}$, where $||u^{**}|| = ||v^{**}|| = 1$, we obtain that $u^{**}(f_i) = v^{**}(f_i) = 1$ for $1 \le i \le k$. Thus $u^{**}, v^{**} \in W$ and hence $||u^{**} - v^{**}|| < \epsilon$. Since u^{**}, v^{**} and ϵ were arbitrary, we get that x is an extreme point of $\mathbf{B}_{X^{**}}$.

According to Choquet's Lemma (see e.g. [7, Lemma 3.40]) the ω^* -slices of $\mathbf{B}_{X^{**}}$ containing x form a ω^* -neighbourhood basis of x in $\mathbf{B}_{X^{**}}$. Thus there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $g \in \mathbf{S}_{X^*}$ such that $S(\mathbf{B}_{X^{**}},g,\alpha) \subset W$. In particular diam $(S(\mathbf{B}_X,g,\alpha)) < \epsilon < 2$. By Lemma 1 we get that X^* is not extremely rough. Since X is convex-transitive we have that X^* is convex ω^* -transitive. We conclude by applying [2, Theorem 1] that X is a member of \mathcal{J} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to the referee for suggesting major improvements to Theorems 2 and 4. This work has been financially supported by the Finnish Graduate School in Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications.

References

- [1] J. BECERRA, A. RODRIGUEZ, The geometry of convex-transitive Banach spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (3) (1999), 323-331.
- J. BECERRA, A. RODRIGUEZ, Characterizations of almost transitive superreflexive Banach spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 42 (4) (2001), 629-636.
- [3] J. BECERRA, A. RODRIGUEZ, Transitivity of the norm on Banach spaces, Extracta Math. 17 (1) (2002), 1-58.
- [4] R. BOURGIN, "Geometric Aspects of Convex Sets with the Radon-Nikodym Property", Lecture Notes in Mathematics 993, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] F. CABELLO SÁNCHEZ, Maximal symmetric norms on Banach spaces, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 98A (2) (1998), 121-130.
- [6] R. DEVILLE, G. GODEFROY, V. ZIZLER, "Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces", Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 64, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, (copublished in USA with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York), 1993.
- [7] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, "Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry", CMS Books in Mathematics 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

- [8] C. Finet, Uniform convexity properties of norms on super-reflexive Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **53**(1) (1986), 81-92.
- [9] W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, Basic concepts in the geometry of Banach spaces, in "Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol I", North Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, 1–84.
- [10] W. B. JOHNSON, J. LINDENSTRAUSS, D. PREISS, G. SCHECHTMAN, Almost Frechet differentiability of Lipschitz mappings between infinitedimensional Banach spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 84 (3) (2002), 711-746.
- [11] S. ROLEWICZ, "Metric Linear Spaces", Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), 20, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1985.
- [12] F. SULLIVAN, Geometrical properties determined by the higher duals of a Banach space, *Illinois J. Math.* **21**(2) (1977), 315-331.
- [13] J. Talponen, On weakly extremal structures in Banach spaces, Extracta Math. 22 (2) (2007), 225-233.
- [14] J. Talponen, A note on the class of superreflexive almost transitive Banach spaces, preprint in ArXiv/math.