Multifractals and Projections

FADHILA BAHROUN, IMEN BHOURI

Faculté des Sciences de Monastir, 5000 Monastir, Tunisie e-mail: fadhila_bah@yahoo.fr, bhouri_imen@yahoo.fr

(Presented by M. Mbekhta)

AMS Subject Class. (2000): 28A20, 28A75, 31A15

Received September 10, 2005

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let *m* be an integer with 0 < m < n and $G_{n,m}$ stand for the Grassmann manifold of all *m*- dimensional linear spaces of \mathbb{R}^n . For $V \in G_{n,m}$, we denote $p_V : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow V$ the orthogonal projection onto *V*, then $\{p_V; V \in G_{n,m}\}$ is compact in the space of all linear maps from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m , and the identification of *V* with p_V induces a compact topology for $G_{n,m}$. Fixing $V_0 \in G_{n,m}$, we can define an orthogonally invariant Radon probability measure $\gamma_{n,m}$ on $G_{n,m}$ by

$$\gamma_{n,m}(A) = v_n \{ g \in O(n) \; ; \; g(V_0) \in A \} \text{ for } A \in G_{n,m}$$

where v_n denotes the unique Haar measure on the orthogonal group O(n) of \mathbb{R}^n normalized so that $v_n(O(n)) = 1$. The uniqueness implies that $\gamma_{n,m}$ is independent of V_0 (see [6]). In other words,

$$\gamma_{n,m} = f_{V_0*}v_n$$
 with $f_{V_0}(g) = g(V_0)$ for $g \in O(n)$

and $f_{V_0*}v_n$ is the image of the measure v_n under the map f_{V_0} .

For a Borel probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n , supported on the compact set S_{μ} , and for $V \in G_{n,m}$, we define $\tilde{\mu}$, the projection of μ onto V by

$$\widetilde{\mu}(E) = \mu \left(p_V^{-1}(E) \right)$$

for all $E \subseteq V$. Thus if $f: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous then

$$\int_{V} f(u) \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mu}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(p_{V}(x)) \mathrm{d}\mu(x).$$

If ν is a Borel probability measure on S_{μ} , one defines, for p > 0

$$T_{\mu,\nu}(p) = \liminf_{r \longrightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log r} \log \int_{S_{\mu}} \mu \left(B(x,r) \right)^{p} \mathrm{d}\nu(x)$$

the lower generalized *p*-spectral dimension of μ . It is closely related to the Rényi dimension in its integral version (see [9]) and if ν is a Gibbs measure for the measure μ , i. e there exists a measure ν on S_{μ} and constants $\underline{K} > 0, \overline{K} > 0$ and $t_q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x \in S_{\mu}$ and every $0 < r < \lambda$

$$\underline{K}\mu\big(B(x,r)\big)^q(2r)^{t_q} \le \nu\big(B(x,r)\big) \le \overline{K}\mu\big(B(x,r)\big)^q(2r)^{t_q}.$$

 $T_{\mu,\nu}$ represents the C_{μ} function of Olsen's multifractal formalism [8]. This quantity appears as a generalization of the lower *p*-spectral dimension defined in [5]. For $p \ge 0$,

$$D_p(\mu) = \liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{p \log r} \log \int_{S_\mu} \mu \big(B(x, r) \big)^p \mathrm{d}\mu(x).$$

In particular, in the case $\mu = \nu$ one has

$$T_{\mu,\mu}(p) = pD_p(\mu)$$

In [5], Hunt and Kaloshin proved the following statement:

THEOREM (HK). Let $0 . If <math>D_p(\mu) \le m$, one has

$$D_p(\widetilde{\mu}) = D_p(\mu)$$
 for $\gamma_{n,m}$ -almost all $V \in G_{n,m}$.

In this paper, we investigate the relationship between $T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$ and $T_{\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\nu}}(p)$. Which allows us to compare the multifractal spectra of the measure μ and that of its projections.

2. PROJECTION RESULTS

In this section, we show that the generalized *p*-spectral dimension is preserved under almost every orthogonal projection.

THEOREM 2.1. Let p be a real number. 1. If $0 and <math>T_{\mu,\nu}(p) \le pm$, then $T_{\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\nu}}(p) = T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$ for $\gamma_{n,m}$ -almost all $V \in G_{n,m}$. 2. If p > 1, one has

 $\inf \left(T_{\mu,\nu}(p), m \right) \le T_{\widetilde{\mu},\widetilde{\nu}}(p) \le T_{\mu,\nu}(p) \text{ for } \gamma_{n,m}\text{-almost all } V \in G_{n,m}.$

Remark. The first assertion of this theorem is a generalization of that of Hunt and Kaloshin. In fact, in the case $\mu = \nu$, assertion 1 is the main theorem of Hunt and Kaloshin. Assertion 2 extends the result of Hunt and Kaloshin to the case p > 1 untreated in their work. Indeed, considering the relation $D_p(\mu) = \frac{1}{p}T_{\mu,\mu}(p)$, the equality $D_p(\mu) = D_p(\tilde{\mu})$ remain valid for $\gamma_{n,m}$ -almost all $V \in G_{n,m}$, if p > 1 and $D_p(\mu) < \frac{m}{p}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The first assertion is proved in the same way as Theorem (HK). The second property results from the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. If p > 0, then

- 1. $T_{\mu,\nu}(p) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) = \infty\},\$
- 2. $T_{\mu,\nu}(p) = \sup\{s \ge 0 : I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) < \infty\},\$

where

$$I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) = \int_{S_{\mu}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s/p}} \right)^p \mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

Proof. Let s be a number such that $s < T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$. One has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s/p}} \le 1 + \sum_{n \ge 0} \int_{2^{-n-1} < |x-y| \le 2^{-n}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s/p}}$$
$$\le 1 + \sum_{n \ge 0} 2^{(n+1)s/p} \mu \big(B(x, 2^{-n}) \big)$$

thus

$$I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) \le \int_{S_{\mu}} \left(1 + \sum_{n \ge 0} 2^{(n+1)s/p} \mu(B(x,2^{-n})) \right)^p \mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

In the case 0 , we have

$$I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) \le 1 + \sum_{n\ge 0} 2^{(n+1)s} \int_{S_{\mu}} \mu \big(B(x,2^{-n}) \big)^p \mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

Then for a suitable choice of $\delta > 0$ such that $s + \delta < T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$, one has

$$I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) \le 1 + 2^s \sum_{n\ge 0} 2^{-n\delta} < \infty.$$

Now consider the case p > 1, remember that for every $a, b \ge 0$,

$$(a+b)^p \le 2^{p-1}(a^p+b^p).$$

It results that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s/p}}\right)^p \le 2^{p-1} + 2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{n\ge 0} 2^{(n+1)s/p} \mu(B(x,2^{-n}))\right)^p$$

For $\alpha > 0$, Hölder inequality implies

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s/p}}\right)^p \le 2^{p-1} + 2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{(n+1)s+n\alpha p} \mu(B(x,2^{-n}))^p\right) \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{\frac{-n\alpha p}{p-1}}\right)^{p-1}$$

Further, if $\delta > 0$ such that $s + \delta < T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$ we obtain

$$I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) \le 2^{p-1} + C \sum_{n\ge 0} 2^{n(\alpha p-\delta)}$$

where C is constant depending only of p. Statement 1 follows by considering $\alpha < \delta/p$. To establish statement 2, fix p > 0. For $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

$$I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) \ge \varepsilon^{-s} \int_{S_{\mu}} (\mu(B(x,\varepsilon))^p \mathrm{d}\nu(x))$$

Let $s > T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $s - \delta > T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$, then for small ε we have $I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu) \ge \varepsilon^{-\delta}$, hence $I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu)$ is infinite.

In order to prove the second statement of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to prove this implication

$$T_{\mu,\nu}(p) \le m \implies T_{\mu,\nu}(p) \le T_{\widetilde{\mu},\widetilde{\nu}}(p).$$
 (1)

Let $s < T_{\mu,\nu}(p)$. One has

$$\int_{G_{n,m}} I_{s,p}(\widetilde{\mu},\widetilde{\nu}) \mathrm{d}\gamma_{n,m}(v) = \int_{S_{\mu}} \int_{G_{n,m}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(y)}{|p_v(x-y)|^{s/p}} \right)^p \mathrm{d}\gamma_{n,m}(v) \mathrm{d}\nu(x).$$

By Minkowski inequality [10],

$$\begin{split} \int_{G_{n,m}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(y)}{|p_v(x-y)|^{s/p}} \right)^p \mathrm{d}\gamma_{n,m}(v) \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{G_{n,m}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_{n,m}(v)}{|p_v(x-y)|^s} \right)^{1/p} \mathrm{d}\mu(y) \right)^p. \end{split}$$

Since s < m,

$$\int_{G_{n,m}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_{n,m}(v)}{|p_v(x-y)|^s} = \frac{C}{|x-y|^s},$$

(See [7], Corollary 3.12) where C is a constant depending only on m, n and s. Hence

$$\int_{G_{n,m}} I_{s,p}(\widetilde{\mu},\widetilde{\nu}) \mathrm{d}\gamma_{n,m}(v) \le C I_{s,p}(\mu,\nu),$$

which shows that $I_{s,p}(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})$ is finite for $\gamma_{n,m}$ -almost all $v \in G_{n,m}$ and implication (1) follows from Lemma 2.2.

3. Application

In the following section, we compare the multifractal spectrum of a measure μ and its projections $\tilde{\mu}$ more precisely. In fact, Theorem 2.1 allows us to establish a relationship between the Hausdorff dimension of the singularity spectrum of $\tilde{\mu}$ and the Legendre transform of the generalized prepacking dimensions Λ_{μ} introduced by Olsen [9]. Before detailing our results, let us recall the multifractal formalism introduced by Olsen.

For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $q, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \geq 0$, we denote

$$\overline{P}^{q,t}_{\mu}(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup \sum_{i} \mu \left(B(x_i, r_i) \right)^q (2r_i)^t$$

where the supremum is taken over all centered δ -packing of E

$$P^{q,t}_{\mu}(E) = \inf_{E \subseteq \bigcup_i E_i} \sum_i \overline{P}^{q,t}_{\mu}(E_i)$$

It is the multifractal generalization of packing measure. The premeasures $\overline{P}_{\mu}^{q,t}$ assign in the usual way a dimension to each subset E of \mathbb{R}^n

$$\overline{P}^{q,t}_{\mu}(E) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } t < \Delta^q_{\mu}(E), \\ 0 & \text{for } \Delta^q_{\mu}(E) < t. \end{cases}$$

The number $\Delta^q_{\mu}(E)$ is an extension of the prepacking dimension $\Delta(E)$ of E. Then we are able to define the function $\Lambda_{\mu}(q) = \Delta^q_{\mu}(S_{\mu})$. Remark that Λ_{μ} is convex and decreasing (see [8]). This function is related to the multifractal spectrum of the measure μ . More precisely, if $f^*(x) = \inf_y (xy + f(y))$ denotes the Legendre transform of the function f, and if

$$\overline{X}_{\mu}(\alpha) = \bigg\{ x \in S_{\mu} : \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu \big(B(x, r) \big)}{\log r} \le \alpha \bigg\}.$$

is the set of singularity, it has been proved in [8] and [1] a lower bound estimate of the singularity spectrum using the Legendre transform of the function Λ_{μ} . Let recall that the singularity spectrum or the multifractal spectrum of a measure μ is the Hausdorff dimension of the set $\overline{X}_{\mu}(\alpha)$. Theorem 2.1 allows us to prove a similar inequality for $\tilde{\mu}$ and to compare the multifractal spectrum of μ and that of its projection.

Let q be a real number. We consider the following conditions:

 (H_1) There exists a probability measure on S_{μ} such that

$$\nu(B(x,r)) \le \overline{K}\,\mu(B(x,r))^q (2r)^{\Lambda_\mu(q)},$$

- $(H_2) \Lambda'_{\mu}(q)$ exists,
- $(H_3) T'_{\mu,\nu}(0)$ exists,
- (*H*₄) $\Lambda^*_{\mu} (-\Lambda'_{\mu}(q)) \le m \text{ and } T'_{\mu,\nu}(0) \le m,$
- (H₅) There exists a probability measure ν in S_{μ} such that there exist $\overline{K} > 0$ and $\underline{K} > 0$ satisfying

$$\underline{K}\mu\big(B(x,r)\big)^q(2r)^{\Lambda_\mu(q)} \le \nu\big(B(x,r)\big) \le \overline{K}\mu\big(B(x,r)\big)^q(2r)^{\Lambda_\mu(q)}$$

for all $x \in S_{\mu}$ and r > 0 small enough. ν is a Gibbs state at the point q.

THEOREM 3.1. Under the assumptions (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_3) and (H_4) one has

$$\dim X_{\widetilde{\mu}}(T'_{\widetilde{\mu},\widetilde{\nu}}(0)) \ge \Lambda^*_{\mu}(-\Lambda'_{\mu}(q)) \text{ for } \gamma_{n,m}\text{-almost all } V \in G_{n,m},$$

where dim denotes the Hausdorff dimension.

Before giving the proof of this theorem let us comment it.

Commentaries: 1) Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 we have a relationship between the multifractal spectrum of the measure μ and that of its projection $\tilde{\mu}$. In fact, we have that $\dim \overline{X}_{\tilde{\mu}}(T'_{\tilde{\mu},\tilde{\nu}}(0)) \geq \dim \overline{X}_{\mu}(T'_{\mu,\nu}(0))$ for $\gamma_{n,m}$ -almost all $V \in G_{n,m}$, which constitute a natural prolongement of the results of Mattila, Howroyd and Falconer([2], [3] and [6]) about the relationship between the dimension of a set or a measure and those of their projections.

2) Under the hypothesis (H_5) , we have $T_{\mu,\nu} = -C_{\mu}$ where C_{μ} is another scaling μ -function introduced by L. Olsen in [8]. So, if we replace (H_2) by (H_5) in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\dim \overline{X}_{\widetilde{\mu}}\big(-C'_{\widetilde{\mu}}(0)\big) \ge \begin{cases} -\Lambda'_{\mu_{+}}(q)q + \Lambda_{\mu}(q) & \text{for } q \ge 0, \\ -\Lambda'_{\mu_{-}}(q)q + \Lambda_{\mu}(q) & \text{for } q < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us prove the Theorem 3.1. One of the ingredients to prove this theorem is the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let q be a real number. One has

$$\Lambda'_{\mu_{-}}(q) \le -T'_{\mu,\nu_{-}}(0) \le \Lambda'_{\mu_{+}}(q).$$

Remark. If we replace the condition (H_1) by (H_5) we have also

$$\Lambda'_{\mu_{+}}(q) \le -T'_{\mu,\nu_{+}}(0).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. It results from the lower bound

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x,r))}{\log r} \le T'_{\mu,\nu_-}(0) \ \nu\text{-a.e. established in [8]},$$

and the lower bound

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x,r))}{\log r} \ge -\Lambda'_{\mu_+}(q) \text{ ν-a.e. established in [1],}$$

that $-T'_{\mu,\nu_{-}}(0) \leq \Lambda'_{\mu_{+}}(q)$. To prove the other inequality, that is

$$\Lambda'_{\mu_{-}}(q) \le -T'_{\mu,\nu_{-}}(0),$$

it is sufficient to show that

$$\Lambda_{\mu}(p+q) \ge \Lambda_{\mu}(q) - T_{\mu,\nu}(p) \tag{2}$$

for all p < 0.

Let $\rho > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. It results from the definitions of $T_{\mu,\nu}$ and $\overline{P}_{\mu}^{p+q,\Lambda_{\mu}(q)-T_{\mu,\nu}(p)-\rho}$ that for r > 0 small enough,

$$1 \leq \int_{S_{\mu}} \mu \big(B(x,r) \big)^p r^{-T_{\mu,\nu}(p)-\rho} \mathrm{d}\nu(x),$$

and

$$\overline{P}_{\mu,\frac{r}{2}}^{p+q,\Lambda_{\mu}(q)-T_{\mu,\nu}(p)-\rho}(S_{\mu}) < \overline{P}_{\mu}^{p+q,\Lambda_{\mu}(q)-T_{\mu,\nu}(p)-\rho}(S_{\mu}) + \varepsilon.$$

By applying covering Besicovich lemma [4], we have

$$(\overline{K}\xi)^{-1} \le \overline{P}_{\mu}^{p+q,\Lambda_{\mu}(q)-T_{\mu,\nu}(p)-\rho}(S_{\mu}) + \varepsilon.$$
$$\overline{P}_{\mu}^{p+q,\Lambda_{\mu}(q)-T_{\mu,\nu}(p)-\rho}(S_{\mu}) > 0,$$

So

in

$$\Lambda_{\mu}(p+q) \ge \Lambda_{\mu}(q) - T_{\mu,\nu}(p) - \rho$$

The arbitrary in ρ implies the inequality (2), which achieves the proof of the proposition.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We have, dim $\overline{X}_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha) \geq \inf(m, \dim \overline{X}_{\mu}(\alpha))$ for $\gamma_{n,m}$ almost every $V \in G_{n,m}$, since $p_V(\overline{X}_{\mu}(\alpha)) \subset \overline{X}_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha)$. In particular, condition (H_4) implies

$$\dim \overline{X}_{\widetilde{\mu}}(T'_{\mu,\nu}(0)) \ge \dim \overline{X}_{\mu}(T'_{\mu,\nu}(0)) \quad \text{for } \gamma_{n,m} \text{ -almost all } V \in G_{n,m}$$
(3)

Hence, the proposition and the assumptions (H_2) and (H_3) give that $\Lambda'_{\mu}(q) = -T'_{\mu,\nu}(0)$. As a consequence, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\Lambda'_{\mu}(q) = -T'_{\widetilde{\mu},\widetilde{\nu}}(0) \text{ for } \gamma_{n,m} \text{ -almost every } V \in G_{n,m}.$$
 (4)

Thus, the result is a consequence from (3), (4) and the inequality

$$\dim \overline{X}_{\mu}(-\Lambda'_{\mu}(q)) \ge \Lambda^*_{\mu}(-\Lambda'_{\mu}(q)),$$

established in [1]. \blacksquare

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jacques Peyrière and Julien Barral for helpfull discussions they had with them. And they thanks the anonymous referee for his suggestions and remarks.

References

- BEN NASR, F., BHOURI, I., HEURTEAUX, Y., The validity of the multifractal formalism: results and examples, Adv. Math., 165 (2) (2002), 264–284.
- [2] FALCONER, K.J., HOWROYD, J.D., Projection theorems for box and packing dimensions, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 119 (2) (1996), 287– 295.
- [3] FALCONER, K.J., HOWROYD, J.D., Packing dimensions of projections and dimension profiles, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **121** (2) (1997), 269– 286.
- [4] GUZMÁN, M. DE, "Differentiation of Integrals in Rⁿ", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 481, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [5] HUNT, B.R., KALOSHIN, V.Y., How projections affect the dimension spectrum of fractal measures, *Nonlinearity*, **10** (5) (1997), 1031–1046.
- [6] MATTILA, P., Hausdorff dimension, orthogonal projections and intersections with planes, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., 1 (2) (1975), 227–244.
- [7] MATTILA, P., "Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces. Fractals and Rectifiability", Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [8] OLSEN, L., A multifractal formalism, Adv. Math., **116** (1) (1995), 82–196.
- [9] PESIN, Y.B., "Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems. Contemporary Views and Applications", Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1997.
- [10] PÓLYA, G., SZEGÖ, G., "Problems and Theorems in Analysis", Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.