

# Non-additive Lie centralizer of strictly upper triangular matrices

## Driss Aiat Hadj Ahmed

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Centre R\'egional des Metiers d'Education et de Formation (CRMEF)\\ Tangier, Morocco \end{tabular}$ 

 $ait\_hadj@yahoo.com$ 

Received December 31, 2018 Accepted February 4, 2019 Presented by Consuelo Martínez

Abstract: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a field of zero characteristic, let  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  denote the algebra of  $n \times n$  strictly upper triangular matrices with entries in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $f: N_n(\mathcal{F}) \to N_n(\mathcal{F})$  be a non-additive Lie centralizer of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ , that is, a map satisfying that f([X,Y]) = [f(X),Y] for all  $X,Y \in N_n(\mathcal{F})$ . We prove that  $f(X) = \lambda X + \eta(X)$  where  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\eta$  is a map from  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  into its center  $\mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F}))$  satisfying that  $\eta([X,Y]) = 0$  for every X,Y in  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ .

 $Key\ words$ : Lie centralizer, strictly upper triangular matrices, commuting map.

AMS Subject Class. (2010): 16S50, 15A27,16U80, 15B99, 47B47, 16R60.

#### 1. Introduction

Consider a ring R. An additive mapping  $T:R\to R$  is called a left (respectively right) centralizer if T(ab)=T(a)b (respectively T(ab)=aT(b)) for all  $a,b\in R$ . The map T is called a centralizer if it is a left and a right centralizer. The characterization of centralizers on algebras or rings has been a widely discussed subject in various areas of mathematics.

In [13] Zalar proved the following interesting result: if R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and T is an additive mapping such that  $T(a^2) = T(a)a$  (or  $T(a^2) = aT(a)$ ), then T is a centralizer. Vukman [12] considered additive maps satisfying similar conditions, namely  $2T(a^2) = T(a)a + aT(a)$  for any  $a \in R$ , and showed that if R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring then T is also a centralizer. Since then, the centralizers have been intensively investigated by many mathematicians (see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 8]).

Let R be a ring. An additive map  $f: R \to R$ , is called a Lie centralizer of R if

$$f([x,y]) = [f(x),y] \qquad \text{for all } x,y \in R, \tag{1.1}$$

where [x, y] is the Lie product of x and y.

© (1) (S)

Recently, Ghomanjani and Bahmani [9] dealt with the structure of Lie centralizers of trivial extension algebras, whereas Fošner and Jing [7] studied Lie centralizers of triangular rings.

The inspiration of this paper comes from the articles [1, 5, 7] in which the authors deal with the Lie centralizer maps of triangular algebras and rings. In this note we will consider non-additive Lie centralizers on strictly upper triangular matrices over a field of zero characteristic.

Throughout this article,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a field of zero characteristic. Let  $M_n(\mathcal{F})$  and  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  denote the algebra of all  $n \times n$  matrices and the algebra of all  $n \times n$  strictly upper triangular matrices over  $\mathcal{F}$ , respectively. We use  $\operatorname{diag}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  to represent a diagonal matrix with diagonal  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  where  $a_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . The set of all  $n \times n$  diagonal matrices over  $\mathcal{F}$  is denoted by  $D_n(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $I_n$  be the identity in  $M_n(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $J = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{i,i+1}$  and  $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  the canonical basis of  $M_n(\mathcal{F})$ , where  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix with 1 in the (i,j) position and zeros elsewhere. By  $C_{N_n(\mathcal{F})}(X)$  we will denote the centralizer of the element X in the ring  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ .

The notation  $f: N_n(\mathcal{F}) \to N_n(\mathcal{F})$  means a non-additive map satisfying f([X,Y]) = [f(X),Y] for all  $X,Y \in N_n(\mathcal{F})$ .

Notice that it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}E_{1n}$ .

The main result in this paper is the following:

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a field of zero characteristic. If  $f: N_n(\mathcal{F}) \to N_n(\mathcal{F})$  is a non-additive Lie centralizer then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  and a map  $\eta: N_n(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F}))$  satisfying  $\eta([X,Y]) = 0$  for every X,Y in  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $f(X) = \lambda X + \eta(X)$  for all X in  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ .

Notice that the converse is trivially true: every map  $f(X) = \lambda X + \eta(X)$  with  $\eta$  satisfying the condition in Theorem 1.1 is a (non-additive) Lie centralizer.

# 2. Proofs

Let's start with some basic properties of Lie centralizers.

LEMMA 2.1. Let f be a non-additive Lie centralizer of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ . Then:

- (1) f(0) = 0;
- (2) for every  $X, Y \in N_n(\mathcal{F})$ , we have f([X, Y]) = [X, f(Y)];

(3) f is a commuting map, i.e., f(X)X = Xf(X) for all  $X \in N_n(\mathcal{F})$ .

*Proof.* To prove (1) it suffices to notice that

$$f(0) = f([0, 0]) = [f(0), 0] = 0.$$

(2) Observe that if f([X,Y]) = [f(X),Y], then we have

$$f(XY - YX) = f(X)Y - Yf(X).$$

Interchanging X and Y in the above identity, we have

$$f(YX - XY) = f(Y)X - Xf(Y).$$

Replacing X with -X in the above relation, we arrive at f(XY - YX) =Xf(Y) - f(Y)X which can be written as f([X,Y]) = [X,f(Y)].

From (1) one also gets (3):

$$[f(X), X] = f([X, X]) = f(0) = 0.$$

Remark 2.1. Let f be a non-additive Lie centralizer of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  and  $X \in$  $C_{N_n(\mathcal{F})}(Y)$ . Then  $f(X) \in C_{N_n(\mathcal{F})}(Y)$ . Indeed, if  $X \in C_{N_n(\mathcal{F})}(Y)$ , then [X,Y]=0 and

$$0 = f(0) = f([X, Y]) = [f(X), Y].$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let f be a non-additive Lie centralizer of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ . Then:

(1) 
$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i E_{i,i+1}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i E_{i,i+1};$$

(2) there exists  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(J) = \lambda J$ .

*Proof.* Let 
$$D_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n-i) E_{i,i}$$
.

Proof. Let  $D_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i) E_{i,i}$ . (1) Consider  $A \in M_n(\mathcal{F})$ . It is well known that  $[D_0, A] = A$  if and only if  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i E_{i,i+1}.$ 

Hence, if 
$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i E_{i,i+1}$$
, we have  $[D_0, A] = A$ . Thus  $f([D_0, A]) = [D_0, f(A)] = f(A)$ . Therefore  $f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i E_{i,i+1}$ .

(2) As in (1), consider  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i E_{i,i+1}$  for some  $a_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then [J,A] = 0 if and only if A = aJ for some  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Indeed, 
$$f(J) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i E_{i,i+1}$$
 by (1). Thus,  $0 = f(0) = f([J, J]) = [J, f(J)]$ .  
Hence, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(J) = \lambda J$ .

We will need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. (LEMMA 2.1, [14]) Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an arbitrary field. If  $G, H \in UT_n(\mathcal{F})$  are such that  $g_{i,i+1} = h_{i,i+1} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , then G and H are conjugated in  $UT_n(\mathcal{F})$ .

Here  $UT_n(\mathcal{F})$  is the multiplicative group of  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrices with only 1's in the main diagonal. From the lemma above we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a field. For every  $A = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} E_{ij}$ , where  $a_{i,i+1} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , there exists  $B \in T_n(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $B^{-1}AB = J$  and  $T_n(\mathcal{F})$  is the ring of upper triangular matrices.

*Proof.* Let A be a matrix in  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  of the mentioned form. Then  $I_n + A$  is a unitriangular matrix. Let's notice first that there exists  $B_1 \in D_n(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $(B_1^{-1}AB_1)_{i,i+1} = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can construct  $B_1 \in D_n(\mathcal{F})$  recursively by:

$$(B_1)_{11} = 1,$$
  $(B_1)_{i+1,i+1} = (B_1)_{ii} \cdot (A_{i,i+1})^{-1}$  for  $i \ge 1$ .

Consider the matrix  $I_n + B_1^{-1}AB \in UT_n(\mathcal{F})$ . The unitriangular matrices  $I_n + J$  and  $I_n + B_1^{-1}AB$  fulfill the condition in Lemma 2.3. Hence, there exists  $B_2 \in UT_n(\mathcal{F})$  such that

$$I_n + J = B_2^{-1}(I_n + B_1^{-1}AB_1)B_2.$$

Then  $J = B_2^{-1}(B_1^{-1}AB_1)B_2$ . Taking  $B = B_1B_2 \in T_n(\mathcal{F})$ , we get  $J = B^{-1}AB$  as wanted.

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $A = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} E_{ij}$  be a matrix in  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  with  $a_{i,i+1} \neq 0$  for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Then there exists  $\lambda_A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(A) = \lambda_A A$ .

Proof. Since  $A = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} E_{ij}$ , where  $a_{i,i+1} \neq 0$ , there exists  $T \in T_n(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $TAT^{-1} = J$  by the previous corollary. Define  $h: N_n(\mathcal{F}) \to N_n(\mathcal{F})$  by  $h(X) = Tf(T^{-1}XT)T^{-1}$ . Then h is a non-additive Lie centralizer. Indeed, for all  $A, B \in N_n(\mathcal{F})$  we have:

$$\begin{split} h\left([A,B]\right) &= Tf\left(T^{-1}[A,B]T\right)T^{-1} \\ &= Tf\left(T^{-1}\left(AB - BA\right)T\right)T^{-1} \\ &= Tf\left(T^{-1}ATT^{-1}BT - T^{-1}BTT^{-1}AT\right)T^{-1} \\ &= Tf\left(\left[T^{-1}AT, T^{-1}BT\right]\right)T^{-1} \\ &= T\left[f\left(T^{-1}AT\right), T^{-1}BT\right]T^{-1} \\ &= T\left[f\left(T^{-1}AT\right)T^{-1}BT - T^{-1}BTf\left(T^{-1}AT\right)\right)T^{-1} \\ &= Tf\left(T^{-1}AT\right)T^{-1}B - BTf\left(T^{-1}AT\right)T^{-1} \\ &= \left[Tf\left(T^{-1}AT\right)T^{-1}, B\right] \\ &= \left[h(A), B\right]. \end{split}$$

Hence,  $h(J) = \lambda_A J$  by Lemma 2.2. Then

$$Tf(A)T^{-1} = Tf(T^{-1}(TAT^{-1})T)T^{-1} = h(J) = \lambda_A J = \lambda_A TAT^{-1}.$$

Multiplying the left and right sides by  $T^{-1}$  and T respectively yields  $f(A) = \lambda_A A$ .

Now we wish to extend Lemma 2.4 to all elements of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ . In order to do this, let's introduce the following set:

$$S = \{B = (b_{ij}) \in N_n(\mathcal{F}) : b_{i,i+1} \neq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, ..., n-1\}.$$

This set has an important property that is established below.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a field. Every element of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$  can be written as a sum of at most two elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* If  $a_{i,i+1} \neq 0$  for all i = 1, ..., n-1, then A belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ , so there is nothing to prove. If A is not in  $\mathcal{S}$ , then we can define  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  as follows:

$$(B_1)_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{i,i+1} - b_i & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ a_{ij} & \text{if } j > i+1, \end{cases}$$
  $(B_2)_{ij} = \begin{cases} b_i & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ 

where  $b_i$  is an element in  $\mathcal{F}$  different from  $a_{i,i+1}$ . It is easy to see that  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  are in  $\mathcal{S}$ , and  $A = B_1 + B_2$ , so we wanted.

LEMMA 2.6. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a field. For arbitrary elements A, B of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ , there exists  $\lambda_{A,B} \in F$  such that

$$f(A+B) = f(A) + f(B) + \lambda_{A,B}E_{1n}.$$

*Proof.* For any A, B, X of  $N_n(\mathcal{F})$ , we have

$$[f(A+B), X] = f([A+B, X])$$

$$= [A+B, f(X)]$$

$$= [A, f(X)] + [B, f(X)]$$

$$= [f(A), X] + [f(B), X]$$

$$= [f(A) + f(B), X],$$

which implies that  $f(A+B) - f(A) - f(B) \in \mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F}))$ . Thus, there exists  $\lambda_{A,B} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(A+B) = f(A) + f(B) + \lambda_{A,B}E_{1n}$ .

Now we can prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$  be two non-commuting elements. By Lemma 2.4,  $f(A) = \lambda_A A$ ,  $f(B) = \lambda_B B$ ,  $\lambda_A, \lambda_B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Since f is a non-additive Lie centralizer, we get,

$$f([A, B]) = [f(A), B] = \lambda_A[A, B]$$
  
=  $[A, f(B)] = \lambda_B[A, B]$ .

Then,  $[A, B] \neq 0$  implies that  $\lambda_A = \lambda_B$ .

If  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$  commute, then we take  $C \in \mathcal{S}$  that does not commute neither with A nor with B. As we have just seen,  $\lambda_A = \lambda_C$  and  $\lambda_B = \lambda_C$ . So  $\lambda_A = \lambda_B = \lambda$  for arbitrary elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ . Given  $X \in N_n(\mathcal{F})$  we know, by Lemma 2.5, that there exists  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$  such that X = A + B (we can assume that  $X \notin \mathcal{S}$ ). Then  $f(X) - f(A) - f(B) \in \mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F}))$  by Lemma 2.6.

That is  $f(X) - \lambda_A A - \lambda_B B = f(X) - \lambda X \in \mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F}))$  for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(A) = \lambda A$  for each  $A \in S$ .

We can define  $\eta: N_n(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F}))$  such that  $\eta(X) = f(X) - \lambda X$ , that is,  $f(X) = \lambda X + \eta(X)$ .

Notice that  $\eta(A) = 0$  for each  $A \in S$ . Furthermore, if  $X, Y \in N_n(\mathcal{F})$ , then

$$f([X,Y]) = \lambda [X,Y] + \eta ([X,Y]) = [f(X),Y]$$
$$= [\lambda X + \eta (X),Y] = \lambda [X,Y],$$

since  $\eta(X) \in \mathcal{Z}(N_n(\mathcal{F}))$ .

Consequently,  $\eta([X,Y]) = 0$  and Theorem 1.1 is proved.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the referee for providing useful suggestions which served to improve this paper.

## References

- [1] J. BOUNDS, Commuting maps over the ring of strictly upper triangular matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **507** (2016), 132–136.
- [2] M. Brešar, Centralizing mappings on von Neumann algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 501-510.
- [3] M. Brešar, Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, *J. Algebra* **156** (1993), 385–394.
- [4] M. Brešar, Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativitypreserving mappings and Lie mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 335 (1993), 525-546.
- [5] W.-S. Cheung, Commuting maps of triangular algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 63 (2001), 117-127.
- [6] D. EREMITA, Commuting traces of upper triangular matrix rings, Aequationes Math. 91 (2017), 563-578.
- [7] A. Fošner, W. Jing, Lie centralizers on triangular rings and nest algebras, *Adv. Oper. Theory* 4(2) (2019), 342–350.
- [8] W. Franca, Commuting maps on some subsets of matrices that are not closed under addition, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 388–391.
- [9] F. Ghomanjani, M.A. Bahmani, A note on Lie centralizer maps, Palest. J. Math. 7 (2) (2018), 468–471.
- [10] T.K. Lee, Derivations and centralizing mappings in prime rings, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **1** (3) (1997), 333–342.
- [11] T.K. Lee, T.C. Lee, Commuting additive mappings in semiprime rings, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 24 (1996), 259–268.
- [12] J. Vukman, An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 40 (3) (1999), 447–456.
- [13] B. Zalar, On centralizers of semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 32 (4) (1991), 609-614.
- [14] R. Slowik, Expressing infinite matrices as products of involutions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438** (2013), 399–404.
- [15] L. Chen, J.H. Zhang, Nonlinear Lie derivations on upper triangular matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **56** (2008), 725–730.
- [16] D. AIAT HADJ AHMED, R. SLOWIK, m-Commuting maps of the rings of infinite triangular and strictly triangular matrices (in preparation).